Bruce observes that the film “opens up another form of spectatorship that may indeed be one realization of Mulvey’s theory of a dialectics of passionate detachment” (p. 166). Bruce’s essay should be a companion reader for the film.

Most refreshing is Jim Leach’s “Dark Satanic Mills: Denys Arcand’s On est au coton.” Leach observes that for Arcand the experience of making film has ideological implications. This is, of course, true in a North American context where both Canada and Quebec struggle with their cultural identity alongside the American colossus. Leach quotes John Harkness: “Arcand’s political documentaries are among the most powerfully pessimistic documentaries Canada has produced” (p. 98). They are not so much despairing commentaries as they are documentaries on the evolution of political thought. Perhaps Arcand has The Drylanders (1963) in mind when he observes, “The Film Board makes thousands of films to say that all goes well in Canada, that the western wheat fields are very beautiful. So I think it is just normal that there should now and then be a film which says that everything is rotten.”

One final observation: Candid Eyes belongs in film and educational studies classrooms. Such cinematic commentaries can provide students with an instructive taxonomy that helps them see learning outcomes. Films engage emotions and, perhaps more importantly, they serve as visual links to political and social issues, reinforcing the notion that, in an image-oriented society, the visual is real in a way that the written word may not be (seeing is believing). Intellectually challenging as well as informative, this collection of essays will facilitate debate and provide the intellectual mettle for future investigations into Canada’s cinematic culture. Canadian documentaries have garnered international acclaim for their technical creativity and thematic bravado. Candid Eyes is a must-read for film scholars and a long overdue tome on Canadian documentary film.

Ron Smith
University College of the Cariboo


Morton’s timely book reminds us that gambling has long been viewed not only as an irrational and potentially dangerous waste of time and money, but also as a means of raising revenue for good causes or for the government. Despite the power of the anti-gambling
position, this latter justification has triumphed. By 1969, as Morton argues in her well-written, fully researched, and perspicacious book, “in a complete reversal of an earlier position, the good citizen now purchased lottery tickets to finance public health care facilities and community centres” (p. 198).

*At Odds* begins with some autobiographical details about the writer that are intended to illustrate her personal ambivalence about the subject in hand, an ambivalence that is also reflected in the title of the book. As someone of mostly libertarian sentiments, she has found it easy to mock the tight-lipped puritans while simultaneously feeling uneasy about the promotion of gambling by the government. This is a position held, I would strongly suspect, by most academics who study the subject. Only a few, for example Carl Chinn, the eminent British historian, and a son of a bookmaker from Birmingham, are spirited in their endorsement of betting and gambling.

Morton addresses the changing attitudes toward gambling in Canada from the still “Victorian era” of the interwar years through the increasingly liberal post-war period. The range of the book is considerable. It covers many different types of gambling, notably lotteries, but also bingo, gaming, horse racing, and greyhound racing. The persistence of the anti-gambling movement and the various institutes and groups committed to prevent the spread of gambling in Canada is contrasted with the role of betting and gambling in urban working-class life, where for many, in either city centres or suburbs, it was quite commonplace. Organized crime also made an unwelcome appearance and illustrated the often difficult tasks faced by the police when intervening in a criminalized but popular activity. The relationship of Canadian gambling to American commercial forces is also touched upon.

A major focus of the book is the gendered nature of certain forms of gaming. Bookmaking on horse racing, and gaming on cards and dice, were almost always male activities, and discussed as part of a “bachelor” culture of male bonding. Bingo, however, was almost exclusively a female form of light gambling. Ethnicity and gambling is the subject of a chapter on “gambling ‘others’.” Different racial, ethnic, and religious groups enjoyed their own locales for gambling, and perceptions of gambling as a problem often intersected with ethnicity.

Many of these discussions and analyses in *At Odds* are approached within the framework of a “moral geography” of Canada. An important theme in the book, moral geography is based on the association of certain places with certain forms of behaviour. While some cities were relatively clean, as it were, the French-Canadian city of Montreal and its citizenry were regarded as vice-ridden by English-speaking Canadians. In fact Quebec, along with Manitoba and British Columbia, took a more tolerant line towards gambling than did Ontario or Nova Scotia. Similarly, frontier towns or mining towns were
viewed as ribald and licentious compared with the staid cities of old Canada. These points reveal that most of the sources about gambling available to historians are derived from towns and cities. Subsequently, this lends the subject and its treatment an urban bias. (The same is true for Britain.) Despite this, Morton does, for example, unearth some interesting snippets about gaming in agricultural fairs, and the concern this provoked from local Methodist ministers.

In essence, therefore, the persistence of criticisms of gambling derived in large part from the Protestant heritage of an earlier Canadian majority. But this critique could not defeat some significant developments and contradictions in Canadian society. Capitalism, as the book emphasizes, is at heart a system based upon risk-taking and investments on sometimes uncertain futures.

A widening moral chasm increasingly separated the majority of gamblers from the alarmist and puritanical anti-gambling campaigners. The fact that most people who liked to gamble treated it in moderation and saw it as harmless was at the heart of this historic defeat of the critique of gambling. So too was class bias, because many felt that the legislation and verbal campaigns against the small-scale and harmless gambling on lotteries and bingo was unfair to the working-class punter.

Another major force for liberalization, however, was the practical contribution that bingo and seemingly benign sweepstakes and small lotteries could make to philanthropic and charitable causes. By the 1960s, a little flutter was in many ways compatible with and even good for the welfare state. The moral ambivalence of the state was of paramount importance in the growing liberalization of gambling, a liberalization that culminated in revision of the criminal code during the 1960s. Removed from a prohibitive framework, one that had largely failed to contain gambling anyway, the activity prospered even more.

Given such a fascinating and rakish subject as gambling, and all the activities that comprised a gambling culture in Canada, *At Odds* might have provided a livelier and more colourful depiction of the worlds of Canadian gamblers. The clubs, for example, and the risky world of workplace betting both deserved to be brought to life a little more; oral testimony or gobbets from contemporary reports can often spice up a book here and there. Nonetheless, this is a minor criticism of a book that makes a strong contribution to the social history of gambling in the twentieth century. *At Odds* should be a safe bet for its publishers, deserving a place on the reading lists of undergraduate courses in the social history of Canada, and in other humanities degrees that focus upon leisure and culture. In addition, post-graduate researchers and historians of gambling in Canada now have a very useful book to consult. And historians of the subject from other countries will also find the book of great value when making
comparisons and contrasts across cultures. This is a worthy addition to the field.

Mark Clapson
University of Westminster
London, UK


Au début des années 1990, le recours collectif des « orphelins de Duplessis » a mis en lumière l'expérience de ces enfants orphelins, abandonnés, déviants, délinquants ou en danger qui ont laissé peu de traces dans l'histoire, sauf celle de leur passage dans des institutions administrées par les communautés religieuses du XIXe siècle jusqu'à la Révolution tranquille au Québec. Largement médiatisée, la prise de parole publique des orphelins a révélé la troublante absence d'études fiables sur l'histoire de ces institutions d'enfermement des enfants au Québec. L'étude de Sylvie Ménard comble une partie de ce vide. Le livre porte plus particulièrement sur la question de la rééducation des jeunes délinquants au Québec entre 1840 et 1950, d'un triple point de vue : celui du législateur, celui du clergé et, enfin, du point de vue des Frères de la Charité chargés de la direction de l'Institut Saint-Antoine devenu, en 1873, le Mont-Saint-Antoine (MSA).

En première partie de l'ouvrage, l'auteure se penche sur l'émergence et l'évolution des politiques relatives à l'enfance délinquante ou en besoin de protection au Québec. Elle nous montre comment, par le biais des institutions d’abord, ces politiques ont modifié le statut légal des mineurs, augmenté le pouvoir de contrainte de l'État et, peu à peu, amenuisé la distinction entre délinquants et enfants en danger. Entre 1840 et 1950, les institutions pour enfants délinquants au Québec ont évolué des établissements carcéraux pour adultes (1840-1858) à la prison de réforme pour mineurs (1857-1869), puis à l'école de réforme (1869-1950) dont les visées étaient essentiellement la rééducation des délinquants par l'apprentissage d'un métier et des valeurs morales de l'enseignement religieux. En deuxième partie de l'ouvrage, l'auteure aborde son étude de cas : le Mont-Saint-Antoine de Montréal dont elle suit l’évolution de 1873 à 1909. Pour la période postérieure, les archives du MSA ne semblent