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The rapid arrival of the second industrial revolution on the heels of the first transformed Canada's economy. That second revolution, the rise of science-based industry, depended crucially on new educational institutions. As, in Harry Paul's wonderful phrase, the factory became dependent on the faculty, university-educated engineers, chemists, metallurgists and others moved into managerial ranks of new corporations and found themselves in proximate, if not always unchallenged, charge of production. The knowledge they acquired as part of their training immediately changed production but modified the nexus of exchange relations of which it was a part.

So too did the knowledge required by the change in methods of training. Under the impact of continuous-process production and the scientization of traditional processes, the dynamics of chemical systems, unit operations and new specialties such as colloid, cellulose and electro-chemistry did not replace but were added to traditional qualitative and quantitative analysis and laboratory methods. As industrial processes changed they became attractively interesting to university scientists. Broader areas of overlap between academic science and industrial research science manifested themselves. Government science formed the third circle in the Venn diagram. In defining the relationship among the three parties, universities' internal critics and reformers acquired great scope to advance their own schemes. Recent


science policy debates have described relations between universities, industry, and governments as a “triple helix.” Etzkowitz and others have shown how—although science and markets, and private and public sectors have been differentiated functionally—their relationship may be analyzed dynamically. The term triple helix refers to a network overlay of communications and expectations that reshape the institutional arrangements among the science institutions and functions of government, private firms, and academia. Further, interactions among the three sectors “led to the creation of integrating mechanisms ...and hybrid organizations.” Although this framework gives no undue weight to any of the actors, Godin and Gingras have rightly insisted on the continued leading role of the universities as producers of knowledge.

For the historian, a crucial aspect of this debate is the timing of the universities’ “new” relationship with government and industry, and their unfortunate tendency to date important changes to a recent period. Louis and Anderson claim that prior to the Second World War “universities produced very little of value to the larger society, other than educated clergy and lawyers, and ‘well-rounded’ national leaders” suggesting the authors ascribe little value to engineering, medicine, or secondary education. The Langfords however caution that the triple helix model “is not an entirely novel phenomenon.” Gingras’ careful summary is closest to the mark for the Canadian experience, and tells us when and where we must start:

Although the discourse on the importance of research in the ‘modern’ university began in the 1870s and multiplied from the beginning of the twentieth century, only Canadian industry has remained confined within the walls of researchers.

During World War II, Honorary Advisory Committee runner of the National Research Council, imagined taking a leadership role in the setting up of a Donor Industry and the Canadian University to lobby for such a facility. Objections came from the University and the state.

Lennan suggested universities kind be established in Canada...
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During World War One the Federal government established the Honourary Advisory Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, fore­runner of the National Research Council. Although most immediately justified as an initiative to mobilize scientific resources during Total War, the new Council represented a response to demands for better organization of scientific research which had been heard in Canada for several years. Given a broad mandate to identify, mobilize, coordinate, and promote research resources, the Council chose three ways to proceed: scholarships, Associate Committees, and Assisted Research grants. Scholarships for graduate work in the sciences by Canadian students promoted the produc­tion of research workers. The Associate Committees brought together scientists and engineers around a specific topic at the Council’s expense. The Assisted Research grants went to individuals, usually university science professors, to carry on specific projects.

The Honourary Advisory Council brought only a modest increase in funding to scientific and industrial research in Canada. More importantly, it stimulated a great deal of thinking about the long-term organization of research. The Royal Society of Canada (RSC), which before the war had imagined taking a leadership role in this area, urged, in the Spring of 1918, the setting up of a Dominion Central Laboratory. The Society of Chemical Industry and the Canadian Society of Chemists joined the RSC’s efforts, headed up by the University of Toronto chemistry professor Lash Miller, to lobby for such a facility.

Objections came quickly. University of Toronto physicist J.C. McLennan suggested university-affiliated laboratories of the Mellon Institute kind be established in several cities in Canada, with a standards laboratory
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in Ottawa. McGill's L.V. King, then receiving grants from the Honourary Advisory Council, thought research should be done at the universities. He stressed the primacy of standards work for a national laboratory, on the model of the United States National Bureau of Standards, ignoring or unaware of the work of his McGill colleague R.F. Ruttan to establish a separate Canadian standards body. Queen's W.L. Goodwin echoed those opinions, insisting the Universities are the mothers of Research.

These matters had a full airing in the 1919 hearings of the Special Committee Appointed to Consider the Matter of the Development in Canada of Scientific Research. Chaired by Member of Parliament Hume Cronyn, the Committee received a large number of representations in favour of government action respecting a research laboratory. These came from Boards of Trade, educational institutions, scientific and technical associations and other bodies. The Canadian Fisheries Association and the Canadian Mining Association both dissented. The former looked to the Fisheries Research Board for its scientific information and, instead of a central bureau of research, argued for government grants to university biology departments in support of research. The latter hoped that any research institute would not encroach on the work of the Department of Mines.

A good cross-section of government scientists and university science professors appeared before the Committee as witnesses. Honourary Advisory Council Chairman A.B. Macallum reported on the Council's work and painted a gloomy picture of the state of research in Canada. When asked whether the lack of demand in Canada for research scientists caused the universities to produce so few science PhDs or vice versa, Macallum rather lamely and misleadingly referred to the alleged classics or humanities biases of Canadian universities. He hoped this orientation was changing and that industry would see the usefulness of employing trained researchers produced by the universities. A National Research Institute, he argued, would be a place for the employment of researchers, and would encourage the universities to produce more of them. The Council, Macallum stated, agreed no government money should go to the universities for industrial research. Not only was there a constitutional obstacle, but public subsidy would crowd out the private sector.

Lash Miller informed the Committee that the Chemistry course at the University of Toronto lacked a research component and had a small department judged that Canadian universities were not producing enough research scientists. The Society of Chemical Industry recommended to get managers of technical establishments to see the advantages that would come with scientific assistance.

A.S. Mackenzie, President of the Honourary Advisory Council, declared that the responsibility, national pride would be lost if the country was made up of many small universities. A centralized laboratory at the Department of Mines was needed to prevent the bickering among the universities. That model would also prevent the particularistic bickering at industrial applications.

R.F. Ruttan, Director of the Canadian Engineering Standards Association in 1919, organized the Canadian Engineering Standards Association in 1919. Now the Canadian Standards Association, it began, like the NRC, as a wartime committee.

The following discussion is based on Special Committee Appointed to Consider the Matter of the Development in Canada of Scientific Research (Ottawa: King's Printer, 1919).
but public subsidy would crowd out the pure science the universities should be doing, besides training researchers.

Lash Miller informed the Committee that since 1892, the Honours Chemistry course at the University of Toronto had included a large research component and had graduated about six students a year. The department judged that Canada could not support a greater rate of production of research scientists. Miller suggested the government support the Society of Chemical Industry’s efforts to get managers of technical businesses who are not chemists to see the advantages that would accrue to them through the employment of scientific assistance.

A.S. Mackenzie, President of Dalhousie and a member of the Honourary Advisory Council, declared that if education had been a federal responsibility, national pride would have required a major research presence in one or more universities. The Council, he said, had rejected the model of decentralized laboratories in major manufacturing centres, tied to local universities. That model would have been unworkable because it would lead to particularistic bickering and duplication of effort. Besides, research aimed at industrial applications would take time away from pure research in the universities.

R.F. Ruttan, Director of the Chemical Laboratories at McGill and also a Council member, stated that Canada’s first need was for researchers and these must come from the universities. Industries should accept the importance of such people. The universities needed money for mission-oriented research in the form of provincial grants and private donations. Government had a role to play in assisting industry with its scientific needs just as agriculture was assisted by the experimental farm system. Government research would help small firms otherwise unable to finance their own research facilities, a chance to compete. Ruttan envisaged a system whereby the universities produced researchers, Dominion research laboratories gave them initial employment and from this pool industry would recruit its research scientists. This would end Canadian industry’s reliance on foreign-trained technical specialists.

In its conclusions the Cronyn committee noted the country’s tradition of government science and the examples of the United Kingdom, Germany, and the United States in giving support to national research. It recommended the government provide funding for research to exploit the country’s natural resources and to foster Canadian industry on world markets. The committee did not resolve any of the difficult questions of support for national research.
The struggle to find a new relationship of science to industry, government, and academia is not a construct of later science policy theorists but rather an historical episode. Etzkowitz and Webster see the story as one of conservative universities responding more rapidly in response to external influences than to internal ones. That view does not capture the subtleties of the universities' own reformers' strategic devices, among which was the use of external influences to promote changes that, for their own reasons, they wished to produce. Ruttan and his colleagues were such university reformers and had particular and explicit intentions. Quite apart from any interest in industry science, they had specific notions of the function and development of Canadian universities and of scientists as professionals. They asserted claims to a greater prominence for research, relief from some of the burdens of teaching, and the growth of graduate schools. Their conception of the role of science in the university curriculum shaped their attitudes to the organization of scientific research in Canada. And so too did, quite simply, their desire for more funding.

Although assigning the "second academic revolution" to a more recent date, Etzkowitz and his co-workers have shown how just as universities had to accommodate a research mission along with their traditional teaching one, they have later sought to accommodate an economic development one. Canada's institutions of higher education had been drawn into industry-oriented investigations even before the Great War. Both levels of government favoured staple-based development that would allow the capture of more value for the country's natural resources through domestic processing, and both saw science—their own, industry's, and universities'—as necessary to industrial transformation. McGill's interest in forest products science dated from the Department of Chemical Engineering and other equipment donated by the Interior, in 1913, established in the FPL to conduct research on the forest sector, the university was a significant place in the education of McGill's students and graduates. When A.G. McIntyre, got the noted Canadian industrial economist, FPL staff to give lectures to the Bates, McIntyre's early 1930s, a federal government labor education. It could, however, contact with pulp and paper lectured to senior students. In direction, the FPL handled the Advisory Council received a letter from the Council's recommendation. In the immediate postwar period, Adams and Director of the idea of some formal agreement at McGill. Proposals included:
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The university's Department of Chemical Engineering had experimental scale pulp digesters and other equipment donated by industry with which senior students could conduct investigations. Thus when the Federal Department of the Interior, in 1913, established the Forest Products Laboratory of Canada (FPL) to conduct research on the scientific and technical problems of that sector, the university was a natural site for the new government laboratory.

That the Laboratory (soon re-styled “Laboratories”) might have some place in the education of McGill students had been contemplated from the start. The University’s students already received lectures in wood pulping and distillation. When a recent McGill Chemical Engineering graduate, A.G. McIntyre, got the nod as first Superintendent of the FPL, he negotiated an agreement with McGill Dean of Applied Science, Frank Adams, for FPL staff to give lectures to chemistry and engineering students. John Bates, McIntyre’s early successor as head of the FPL, took the position that a federal government laboratory could not properly involve itself with education. It could however provide McGill students an opportunity for contact with pulp and paper investigations and processes. Bates himself lectured to senior students. Defining its relationships in a different direction, the FPL handled certain research inquiries which the Honourary Advisory Council received from industry with Bates getting an appointment to the Council’s chemistry committee.

In the immediate postwar era, senior McGill administrators, including Adams and Director of Chemistry R.F. Ruttan, began actively to promote the idea of some formal structure for education in pulp and paper making at McGill. Proposals included a school of papermaking, similar to those in
the US, and joint remuneration of a professorship by McGill and the federal government, through the FPL. Ruttan had argued along these lines in his testimony before the Cronyn Committee. On its own, McGill established in 1921-22 a new course in colloid chemistry. External lecturers in organic industrial chemistry spoke on pulp and paper, wood distillation, and mill waste.

One proposal from the FPL's advisory committee, on which the industry and McGill were well represented, would have had the facility coming under the joint control of the federal Forestry Branch, the Honourary Advisory Council—now permanent but without its own laboratories as yet—and the pulp and paper industry, the latter making a direct financial commitment. This idea was a non-starter, though the Council did make a small appropriation for the study at McGill of sugars in sulphite liquor waste. Although the FPL assisted Professor V.K. Kreible's work, it was a one-time ad hoc arrangement.

Private industry increasingly accepted that the raw material they processed was not wood but rather cellulose. Nowhere in Canada was such thinking so advanced as in the Ottawa Valley pulp and paper company Riordon. The FPL, during the Great War, had cooperated with Riordon in investigating the chemistry of lignin, the principal non-cellulose component in wood. Under C.B. Thorne, the firm developed a complex, tightly-controlled bleaching process to produce the cellulose needed for rayon, bottle caps, cellophane, and cellulose esters. Technically-trained people in the industry thought they had seen the future and it was cellulose.

Elsewhere, I have argued this change in the industry's understanding of its knowledge base led to institutional changes that redefined the FPL. In the early 1920s, the FPL moved in Montreal and eventually to the new seat of the Canadian Pulp and Paper Institute in Ottawa. At one level the process means by which to pry some part of it at the university, partially by the industry, although the industry wished to see the state, higher education, pick up the slack, but made a direct financial commitment. Thus the parties made a deal: government would keep the facility coming under the joint control of the federal Forestry Branch, the Honourary Advisory Council, and the pulp and paper industry, the latter making a direct financial commitment. This idea was a non-starter, though the Council did make a small appropriation for the study at McGill of sugars in sulphite liquor waste. Although the FPL assisted Professor V.K. Kreible's work, it was a one-time ad hoc arrangement.
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the early 1920s, the FPL split asunder. Its Pulp and Paper Division remained in Montréal and eventually joined with the cooperative research programme of the Canadian Pulp and Paper Association (CPPA) and McGill. The other more wood-oriented parts of the government laboratory moved to Ottawa.

At one level the point at issue was, as ever, money. McGill wanted some means by which to pry money out of industry, wanted to retain the FPL or some part of it at the university, but did not or could not commit funds of its own. Industry, although doing a lot more research of its own, of course wished to see the state, either directly or via the support of institutions of higher education, pick up some of the tab. Although the Federal government remained committed to the FPL, it was not prepared to subsidize either McGill or the pulp and paper industry, meanwhile exercising parsimony in its funding of its own Laboratories. And also as ever, Deputy Minister of the Interior W.W. Cory pursued baffling private schemes.

Thus the parties made mutually unacceptable offers to each other. The government would keep the FPL in Montréal if McGill would provide it with rent-free accommodation. McGill would donate land if the government would construct a new building. The industry mouthpiece the Pulp and Paper Magazine of Canada, continued to sound the theme of education for industry, but was in no position to do anything practical about it.

The *deus ex machina* arrived in the form of a bequest to McGill from the widow of paper magnate E.B. Eddy. Eddy’s bequest allowed McGill to come to the table in a much stronger position. As early as November 1923 John Bates, by that time in private industry, Ruttan, and McGill Principal Arthur Currie discussed putting the Eddy bequest, the FPL budget and the CPPA’s contributions into one pot. Although so ambitious a scheme was premature, McGill and the Association did agree to remunerate a new professorship in chemical engineering. McGill made use of income from
the Eddy bequest for its half while eleven firms jointly provided the industry's share.\(^3\)

When the first choice, former FPL researcher Bjarne Johnsen, declined the offer of the new chair, he suggested Harold Hibbert. The English-born Hibbert, a former Du Pont research chemist, had helped found the Division of Cellulose Chemistry of the American Chemical Society while he was an assistant professor of chemistry at Yale. In spite of Hibbert's limited familiarity with pulp and paper manufacture, he had the support of a leading Canadian paper industry executive, C. Howard Smith. In 1925 Hibbert accepted the appointment to the new chair of cellulose and industrial chemistry at McGill.\(^4\)

This marked a dramatic change in the pulp and paper industry's acceptance of scientific research. In 1913 the FPL's supporters had hastened to assure the pulp and paper industry that the laboratory would be staffed by practical engineers and not persons "anxious to investigate the obscure properties of the cellulose molecule."\(^5\) Scarcely more than a dozen years later industry supported and lauded the selection of a scholar who frankly admitted his lack of qualification to teach pulp and paper making but who was North America's leading expert on the properties of the cellulose molecule.\(^6\) This striking volte-face had to do with industry's changing perception of itself, its technology, and of the role of Canada's universities in the Canadian economy. It also reveals something about interwar business strategies in Canada.\(^7\) Industry, in particular through the vehicle of trade associations, looked to the Federal government to pick up some of the overhead costs of industrial research and, if possible, of training a new workforce to design and superintend new productive technologies.

If industry observers saw these events as a great breakthrough, the creation of the new chair was only one step. Attempts to provide further industry support in the form of fellowships for Hibbert's students enjoyed little success. The Canadian Pulp and Paper Association (CPPA) Technical Section's Educational Committee and engineering students were making limited scope. It would house the Hibbert (or Eddy) chair and the building. Ongoing funding of the agreement. But it was a physical Institute have on over-all Direct Institute's physical plant in an industry.

More or less polite joshing relations within and without the spheres of research responsibility. Personal appeals to friends in the interest of some in the industry together defined its function and document or organizational chart: the FPL on the one hand and the Minister Cory on the other. The reasons for their differences bickering did not prevent the government laboratories agreed career mobility, neither would a striking how little conflict Etzkowitz would have it. And interests of individual firms by assisted.

Just how much support did this particular institution? The one-time commitment of a single newsprint machine dwarfed the sums committed.
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Section's Educational Committee's summer work programme for science and engineering students was moribund. Even the final 1926 agreement to create the Pulp and Paper Research Institute of Canada (PARICAN) had limited scope. It would house the Pulp and Paper Division of the FPL, the Hibbert (or Eddy) chair and the CPPA's cooperative research in a single building. Ongoing funding came from the three parties to the PARICAN agreement. But it was a physical rather than an administrative structure. Not until 1940 with the appointment of McGill's Otto Maass would the Institute have an over-all Director. Still, the CPPA raised funds for the Institute's physical plant in an atmosphere of great self-congratulations.

More or less polite jostling began at once to define institutional relations within and without the PARICAN framework. At stake were spheres of research responsibility, funding, patents, and publications. Personal appeals to friends in the industry by Hibbert, and the personal interest of some in the industry in the new institution and its programme, together defined its function and accomplishments just as much as any legal document or organizational chart. The poor relations between Hibbert and the FPL on the one hand and the NRC, its head H.M. Tory, and Deputy Minister Cory on the other is notorious and well documented, even if the reasons for their differences are open to debate. In practice, high-level bickering did not prevent day to day cooperation. Similarly, private and government laboratories agreed that although they would not obstruct career mobility, neither would they try to poach each other's staff. It is striking how little conflict arose in the operations of this "hybrid," as Etzkowitz would have it, institution. Doubtless, the mediation of the interests of individual firms by the industry's trade association greatly assisted.

Just how much support did industry have for science, for research, and for this particular institution? Even if the money for PARICAN was a major one-time commitment of funds by industry, it was less than the cost of a single newsprint machine. In-house spending on research by industry dwarfed the sums committed to extramural programmes whereas Federal
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support for the Research Institute was twice that of the industry. But where science boosters had once been urging firms simply to hire university qualified personnel, they now urged establishment of intramural research units. Where once they urged industry to appreciate the output of undergraduate classrooms and teaching laboratories, they now counselled use of the output of the research system. Where once the question had been whether university graduates could understand the language of the mill, now it was a question whether mill workers could understand the language of the laboratory. By the late 1920s the demand for university-trained personnel in the mills exceeded the supply and correspondence and night school courses for workers were well subscribed. The early graduates of Hibbert’s programme and doctoral students who chose to work on pulp-and-paper topics at McGill with Otto Maass found ready employment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYMENT OF MCGILL GRADUATES</th>
<th>ACADEMIC</th>
<th>GOVERNMENT</th>
<th>INDUSTRY</th>
<th>UNKNOWN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hibbert</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maass</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Hibbert = M.Sc. & PhD graduates from the Industrial and Cellulose Chemistry Department, 1927–1933. Maass = PhD graduates in pulp and paper related topics from the Department of Physical Chemistry, 1929–1933.

Scarcely had the back-slapping and cork-popping at the opening of PAPRICAN ended when the Great Depression struck. Eventually—and sooner rather than later—almost all the Canadian newsprint industry

50 Hibbert Papers Hibbert to Harold Crabtree 7 April 1930. See also the address of John Bates reported in PPCM 4 July 1929, 3 et seq.
would be in receivership or conditions amounting to it. The industry’s commitment to scientific research would be tested and, in important measure, found wanting. The contrast was particularly sharp when compared to McGill’s and the Federal government’s continued funding, under difficult circumstances, of the facility.

In the first place, only about twenty percent of the PAPRICAN operating budget originated with the CPPA in 1930. Extracting even a few thousands more from individual firms to support the work of Hibbert and his students was a major and frustrating undertaking. The circumstances were grim. CPPA Technical Section Membership declined, in part due to let-go technical personnel. Even Abitibi Power and Paper fell victim either to the temptation or to the imperative to cut back on the search for new applications of science. With its large in-house research programme of the 1920s, Abitibi had warm relations with McGill, paying for fellowships in Hibbert’s Department, receiving technical advice, and even coordinating some research. This arrangement came to an abrupt end as the giant northern Ontario firm gutted its own research programme.

Supporters of industrial science advanced every possible argument to sustain industry funding. Investment in research would lead to economic stimulus. Basic research underlies practical application. Competitive advances elsewhere must be met with innovation at home. The amounts needed were small—tiny—in the context of the overall value of the industry’s products. Cutting back on research was a false economy.

The most spectacular success story that pro-research science boosters could cite in this period came with the development of a method of extracting vanillin from pulp mill waste. This came from PhD research by George Tomlinson II under Hibbert with the support of the Howard Smith company of Cornwall. This proof of the vanilla pudding did not sway an industry looking instead to government action, cartellizing, improvements in the international situation, or perhaps divine intervention for survival.

53Minutes of the PAPRICAN Joint Administrative Council 6 January 1930, 14.
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The FPL side of PAPRICAN did rather better. It maintained its own funding and programme, regularized arrangements for access to its facilities by third parties, grew as a source of service bureau work for industry, and did research for other government bodies including the National Research Council. Meanwhile McGill had to cobble together funding for the Eddy Chair from the Eddy fund, which was assured; the CPPA grant, albeit a reduced one; NRC fellowships, studentships, and special research grants; fellowships from individual Canadian and US firms, and even United States National Research Council money. Hibbert nonetheless found it necessary to use part of his own salary to subsidize his laboratory’s research. The assertion that “[i]n the 1930s, most academics rejected government funding of research” is not supported in this instance. The CPPA’s share, supposedly as assured as McGill’s Eddy bequest, had to be arranged ad hoc each year and depended on the individual action of firms and the extent to which each perceived the worth of research. With few PhDs yet in the mills, industry exposure to and commitment to science had not yet been matched by that to research.

As an illustrative episode in the history of technology, the development in Canada between 1913 and 1938 of government and industry support for fundamental and applied research in the pulp and paper industries, invites a simple, if narrow conclusion. In the creation of PAPRICAN, institutional change followed changes in the knowledge base underlying the forest products industries’ productive processes. These changes would survive the challenge of the Depression, though suffering some rather tough times. But we can get much more out of this story.

Szostak, looking principally at the United States, has argued that the Depression marks an end to the second industrial revolution and indeed is a phenomenon of it. Industry, after a period of new process innovation and little new product innovation, had become efficient at producing what people already owned. The consequence was a huge labour surplus and then massive unemployment ability to use innovation to and at least a partial technological did not necessarily mean the development of new uses for existing products typical in not keeping up then during the Depression? Certain successfully during the Depression then this may have been the way to go off the high-tech rails.

The Pulp and Paper Research hybrid government-university character. The British North America Act to the provinces. By 1933, it was the Dominion government at McGill campus. As Gibson Canadian governments collaborated programs, agreements, committees together in a conscious manner.

Scientific research, graduate science, technical standards all brought support the goal of greater innovativeness.

Martha Moore Tresco is a chemical industry along the NRC line, responding well to that industry. So too, apparently, did McGill industry. But surely this industry changed its mind about...
and then massive unemployment. Bernstein however has said that the ability to use innovation to induce demand allowed some firms to find at least a partial technological fix during the Depression. The innovation did not necessary mean the development of new products but rather of new uses for existing products. Were Canadian pulp and paper firms typical in not keeping up their commitment to research and development during the Depression? Certainly some Canadian firms did innovate successfully during the Depression. But if the latter were the exceptions then this may have been the moment when Canadian industry began to go off the high-tech rails.

The Pulp and Paper Research Institute of Canada, as well as being a hybrid government-university-industry institution had also a federal character. The British North America Act assigns authority over education to the provinces. By 1930, all had authority over their natural resources. Yet it was the Dominion government that sent its scientists and dollars to the McGill campus. As Gibbons has pointed out, Canadian governments collaborate more than they fight... a web of programs, agreements, committees, and conferences draws the governments together in a common collaborative enterprise.

Scientific research, graduate science education, and vocational training and technical standards all brought the two levels of government together to support the goal of greater industrial efficiency and international competitiveness.

Martha Moore Trescott, in her fine study of the American electro-chemical industry along the Niagara River, saw educational institutions responding well to that industry's evolving need for knowledge-bearers. So too, apparently, did McGill to the eastern Canadian pulp and paper industry. But surely this way of seeing the past is to beg the question. If industry changed its mind about needing people "to study the obscure techniques," the development of technology, the development of new uses for existing products; and the pulp and paper industries, invites the creation of PAPRICAN, institutional knowledge base underlying the forest industries. These changes would survive the coming rather tough times. But a detailed analysis of firms and the extent to which their laboratory's research. The politics rejected government funding in instance. The CPPA's share, superfluous, had to be arranged ad hoc with few PhDs yet in the research to science had not yet been story of technology, the development and industry support for the pulp and paper industries, invites the creation of PAPRICAN, institutional knowledge base underlying the forest and paper industries. The British North America Act assigns authority over education to the provinces. By 1930, all had authority over their natural resources. Yet it was the Dominion government that sent its scientists and dollars to the McGill campus. As Gibbons has pointed out, Canadian governments collaborate more than they fight... a web of programs, agreements, committees, and conferences draws the governments together in a common collaborative enterprise.

Scientific research, graduate science education, and vocational training and technical standards all brought the two levels of government together to support the goal of greater industrial efficiency and international competitiveness.

Martha Moore Trescott, in her fine study of the American electro-chemical industry along the Niagara River, saw educational institutions responding well to that industry's evolving need for knowledge-bearers. So too, apparently, did McGill to the eastern Canadian pulp and paper industry. But surely this way of seeing the past is to beg the question. If industry changed its mind about needing people "to study the obscure

---

properties of the cellulose molecule," what explains that change? If the industry's knowledge base changed, why did it change?

If education changed to meet the needs of industry surely it was because industry had been changed by the institutions of education. Louis and Anderson are correct in directing our attention to the values of science as relations between university and industry change, though they deal only with changes in the universities.6 The factory got more than hired guns from the faculties of science. Science policy experts have explained how the university graduate is the major vector carrying university research out into the wider world. The values, skills, attitudes, ideas and discourse of those chemists originally hired from the universities by industry to analyze lubricants and test for moisture content surely prepared the way for acceptance of and demand for more and better science in the 1920s. They also created institutions to press this case from within industry. This made the call for more government-supported academic science part of the strategy of Canadian capitalism, mostly voiced by trade associations, in the 1920s. It led specifically to industry's initial commitment to McGill for PAPRICAN.

That industry is not academia, that even science-based industry is not a confederation of laboratories, showed up in the flagging of support in the 1930s. This story is a cautionary tale for those who mouth ill-considered platitudes about universities and the marketplace. We cannot generalize about industry and the state, about private firms and government, and about the relations of all to the academy from one example. Governments too can and do betray their commitments. But if the State does not necessarily have a longer time horizon than the firm nor greater vision can we not at least depend on inertia? And certainly we can see that universities that base their plans for education on the present needs of future employers end up serving no one at all very well.
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A Protracted Struggle: Rural Resistance and Normalization in Canadian Educational History

Mike Corbett

Their homes were worlds unto themselves. The fishermen were not nationalists of any sort, defined themselves as neither Newfoundlanders nor colonials, but residents of chthonic origin, sprung from the earth of whatever little island or cove they had grown up in. (Johnson 1998: 454)

“Good for you,” said Grandpa as I stood with my mortar board and gown, clutching my various awards and diplomas... “Good for you,” “ille bhigruaidh. This means that you will never have to work again.” What he meant was that I would not spend my life pulling the end of a bucksaw or pushing the boat off the Calum Ruadh’s Point in freezing water up to my waist. (MacLeod, 1999: 107).

Canadian rural historians Ferland and Wright (1996), Bouchard (1997), and Sandwell (1994) argue forcefully that Canadian historiography is shot through with a fundamental urban bias. Each claims Canadian rural history has been simplified and presented as a backdrop for the “real” history of the development of a modern urban, industrial nation. These historians argue for an analysis of the “continued importance of this numerically dominant rural population after industrialization” (Sandwell, 1994).

Canadian educational historiography is in a similar position. Although much of its subject matter is rural, differences between urban and rural schooling are often obscure. I wish to show that the history of rural education is as diverse as the various rural economies that span Canadian geography. Formal education entered rural communities substantially when these communities ceased to be labour-intensive, subsistence, or semi-proletarian production sites. This transformation took place between the middle of the 19th century in southern Ontario but not until the latter decades of the 20th century in parts of rural Atlantic Canada, notably in Newfoundland. In many rural and coastal communities this part of what Raymond Williams called the “long revolution” is in its infancy if it has begun at all.
THE CANADIAN EDUCATIONAL STATE: POSTSTRUCTURAL CRITIQUE

The development of the Canadian educational state extends back to the 1830s in Bruce Curtis's analysis of the history of the project of public schooling in Canada West (1988). Curtis draws on the work of Michel Foucault to reconceptualize educational history by challenging the traditional humanistic narrative of linear progress of the establishment of schooling. In Curtis's poststructural history, the aim of the educational project (which he calls the Canadian educational state) was to equalize and individualize, to shape children personally and collectively into uniform social subjects in the face of the rapid social change brought about by industrialisation.

In the eyes of 19th- and early 20th-century school promoters, modern society posed grave dangers to children, and, in turn, faced grave danger from "untrained" children (Donzelot, 1978). Beginning in the 19th century, a complex of institutions began to form subjectivities and to generate knowledge about how these subjects ought to see themselves, to appear, and to behave. Children thus came to be seen not only as individuals, but as individuals requiring direction; individuals who must be categorised and "educated," carefully, professionally and rationally, according to the "needs" and "capacities" of the individual child, to an appropriate social position made visible by a scientific scrutiny of human affairs and in the light of the needs of the emerging "modern" state.

The 19th-century dream of educational reformers and school promoters in Curtis's analysis is a managed social utopia constituted in schools by the administration of a "normalizing gaze" that would strengthen the individual's focus and structure it such that 'reason' and 'intelligence' rather than 'passion' and 'impulse' would be dominant (Curtis, 1988: 102).

As a frontier society, Canada exhibited elements of this untamed nature and by the 1840s, the state began making institutional inroads through such institutions as common schools, jails, and asylums. The rebellions of the 1830s had shown the dangers of an uncontrolled populace in turbulent times (Axelrod, 1997: 21). Schools would become laboratories for social engineering, where students would be taught to recognize the newly emancipated subject to be taught to recognize this. Curtis writes:

Political conflicts were to be remaking of political subjectivity in (modern) social identities.

Thus began the long process of subjectivation in developing capitalism. This was not dependent on overt conflict; rather, against the backdrop of a rationalized appropriate perceptions, the subjecthood was defined in the field into one of meticulous regulation.

The Normal is established as a standardisation introduction of a standardized society. Ecoles normales (teachers' training institutions) operating general norms of health and industrial processes and production becomes one of the great paradigms in the classical age…. In a sense the individuality, but it individualises by specialities and to render the same another. It is easy to see how the system of formal equality, since norm introduces, as a useful in the shading of individual differences.

The end result is a putatively active in his/her own subject work, perhaps best represented as a too neat a picture of normalization. various critics sympathetic reevaluation of historical studies:

1Axelrod (1997, 24-9) notes that in Canada West the educational state was supported by the Reformers and the Tories albeit for different reasons. For the Reformers it was a question of an "educated" democratic citizenship capable of making the kind of choices a free people need to make in the political and social arena. The promise of education might also teach the poor to be otherwise, contributing to social levelling, and class harmony. From the perspective of the establishment, schooling would provide a kind of progress. Furthermore, the
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Curtis writes:
Political conflicts were to be remade in the educational state through the
remaking of political subjectivities. What is at work here is the making of
(modern) social identities (Curtis, 1988: 13).
Thus began the long process of civilising and regulating ostensibly free
subjects in developing capitalism. The kind of power necessary in this space
was not dependent on overt coercion but rather on protracted training,
against the backdrop of a rational normative social curriculum that incul-
cated appropriate perceptions and behaviour in the consciousness of the
subject. The individualism demanded by humanistic pedagogy transformed
the field into one of meticulous observation, shaping, and normalizing
regulation.
The Normal is established as a principle of coercion in teaching with the
introduction of a standardised education and the establishment of the
Écoles normales (teachers’ training colleges); it is established in the effort
to organise a national medical profession and a hospital system capable of
operating general norms of health; it is established in the standardisation
of industrial processes and products. Like surveillance with it normalisa-
tion becomes one of the great instruments of power at the end of the
classical age.... In a sense the power of normalisation imposes homo-
genrety; but it individualises by making it possible to measure gaps, to fix
specialties and to render the differences useful by fitting them into one
another. It is easy to see how the power of the norm functions within a
system of formal equality, since within a homogeneity that is the rule, the
norm introduces, as a useful imperative and as a result of measurement, all
the shading of individual difference (Foucault, 1979: 184).
The end result is a putatively docile self-regulating subject who partici-
pates actively in his/her own subjugation. The trouble with Foucault’s early
work, perhaps best represented by Discipline and Punish, is that it paints
too neat a picture of normalisation. This problem has been pointed out by
various critics sympathetic and hostile to Foucault’s post-structural
reevaluation of historical studies and social analysis. Judith Butler analyses
the seeming paradox of the subject whose will is self-formed, tracing the idea of subjection from Hegel, through Nietzsche and Freud, to Althusser and Foucault (1997). Social theorist Anthony Giddens simply dismisses the idea that normalizing surveillance and meticulous subjection accomplishes all that Foucault claims for it (1981, 1995). In Giddens’s words, “The ‘docile bodies’ which Foucault says discipline produces turn out very often to be not so docile after all” (1981: 172). Jürgen Habermas likewise advances the claim that had Foucault engaged his investigations in an analysis of the modern state (a striking absence in Foucault’s work also noted by Giddens [1995: 267–68]), he would have been forced to drop his notions of totalizing subjection in the face of advances in personal liberty, civil rights, and legal security that are difficult to deny (Habermas, 1996/1987: 290).

Other contemporary historians want to have it both ways and accept the general contours of Foucault’s analysis of discourse and the radical conception of power which lies at its heart, yet rejecting the radical denigration of the subject Foucault implies. Citing the work of Canadian social historian Mariana Valverde (1991) on the social purity movement, Donald Wright (1995) claims she has adopted both elements of Foucault’s hegemonic discourse without rejecting the creative power of human agency. This is accomplished through the use of the idea of resistance and the contention that every discourse generates a countervailing defiant discourse. Otherwise we are left with nothing but power and nothing more than an exercise in social control. Thus, resistance seems a useful formulation and provides a gap between social control and voluntarism in which historians and social analysts can operate.

RESISTANCE IN THE HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF CANADIAN RURAL SCHOOLS

At the heart of the various critiques of Foucault is a general thread of resistance. Things do not always turn out as neatly and as predictably as the normalization project, particularly in Foucault’s early books, imagines. Totalizing theory, and the social practice and institutional work that accompanies it, generate resistance. In a recent analysis of the ascendency of liberal democracy in Western popular culture which has as its benchmark the supposed “end of history” (Fukuyama, 1992) and the final defeat of Marxism with the demise of the former Soviet Union, Jacques Derrida invokes the language of what he calls “spectrology,” or the persistent, haunting presence of resistance to totalizing ideology throughout the work of Marx (Derrida, 1994). For Derrida, resistance is a constant fly in the ointment of theory. Whenever it must be taken, indeed that has as docile subjects and chaotic events.

This was not lost on Foucault. If the local intricacies of resistance studies while documenting structured practices of normalization, because a successful resistance in Certeau (1984) and Deleuze 1997 of power relations in Foucault’s the idea of resistance to the local practices in colonial regimes, ultimately 1997; Ashcroft, Griffiths and other, never entirely transformed history is showing the way the populations including First Nations, women and children 1999) and immigrants (Stacey, I wish to show there is enough that rural resistance was a part much of Curtis’ analysis is the institutional (often community) educational state. An important argues it was the structural (industrial) routines of schooling in many rural areas. Yet be attributed exclusively to the oriented rhythms of agricultural factory-model work routines of

Although rural resistance to no means universal, one aspect continues to be) real or imaginary bias in it. Operating from Curtis’ 1997 of a “tutelary complex” Deleuze institutions designed to shape resistance in rural schools. I see the structural incompatibility of school control of land represent the development oppression that Stanley characterized.
This was not lost on Foucault. His studies were typically concerned with the local intricacies of resistance to normalisation in concrete historical studies while documenting its formation. In the end, for Foucault, the structured practices of normalisation conquer the resistant subject if only because a successful resistance becomes a new regime of truth. Yet as de Certeau (1984) and Deleuze (1988) contend, resistance is at the very core of power relations in Foucault’s writing. Post-colonial thinkers have used the idea of resistance to theorize the way oppressed groups carved out space in colonial regimes, ultimately subverting them (Bhabha, 1994; Gilbert, 1997; Ashcroft, Griffiths and Tiffin, 1995). Power is always faced by its other, never entirely transforming it. In Canada, scholarship in educational history is showing the way the state used schools to normalize various populations including First Nations people (Barman, Miller, 1996; Archibald, 1993), women and children (Creese and Strong-Boag, 1992; Gleason, 1999) and immigrants (Stanley, 1990; Kach and Mazurek, 1994).2

I wish to show there is evidence in the history of Canadian education that rural resistance was a persistent irritant in state formation. Indeed, much of Curtis’ analysis is taken up with documenting popular and institutional (often community and church led) resistance to the Canadian educational state. An important strain in Canadian educational history argues it was the structural incompatibility of rural economies and the (industrial) routines of schooling that led to weak penetration of state schooling in many rural areas. Yet Curtis maintains rural resistance cannot be attributed exclusively to the structural differences between the task-oriented rhythms of agricultural and resource-based economies and the factory-model work routines of the school.

Although rural resistance to normalizing through state schooling is by no means universal, one aspect of the “rural school problem” was (and continues to be) real or imagined irrelevance of the institution and urban bias in it. Operating from Curtis’s Foucauldian analysis of schooling as part of a “tutelary complex” (Donzelot, 1978), that complex of state institutions designed to shape subjectivity, I here review sources on resistance in rural schools. I show that the content of schooling, and the structural incompatibility of school and rural work, are both necessary to resistance a constant fly in the ointment of theory. Whenever the claim is made that a particular direction must be taken, indeed that history demands it, recalcitrant and not-so-docile subjects and chaotic events resist.

2 I would argue that current struggles over First Nations access to resources and control of land represent the development of a strong resistance to the legacy of oppression that Stanley characterises as “white supremacy” (1990).
explain persistent rural resistance to the routines of formal schooling. Resistance takes two main forms: 1) the rural subject stands in opposition to schooling on the grounds that it is/was often an irrelevant urban/outside imposition (rurality in resistance); and, 2) structural resistance, that is, rural regions and their typical economic activities are at odds with the structure of schooling (rurality as resistance).

Curtis’s analysis of state formation presents schooling as part of an institutional apparatus designed to inculcate and routinize work discipline and habits in a uniform social subject that might be “useful,” compliant, and self-regulating in the integrated and rationally organized industrial economy. But as Wilson and Stortz have shown, rurality embodies difference and variability that persist in the face of unsuccessful and even misguided normalization efforts (for instance, “rural mindedness,” progressivism, and the ideology of practicality, agricultural education, normal, and summer school training for in-service and prospective teachers) possible in the remote rural school staffed by isolated and typically inexperienced young teachers (1993).

In rural circumstances, the teacher as instrument of state leverage and purveyor of “civilisation” and “improvement” often failed and teachers were forced to adapt to community realities or perish. Although more urban locales such as Victoria or St. John’s had by the early 20th century well-developed institutions charged with the work of subjectification, remote areas were still independent, “untamed,” immersed in the localized resource extraction economy, resistant to “outside interference” and state intervention in general. It was and continues to be easy to resist protracted formal education in rural economies, particularly for young men. What schooling offers is often a pale shadow of working class adult responsibilities, incomes and privileges which can only be “bought” through employment.

RURALITY IN RESISTANCE

Curtis argues that resistance to schooling cannot be interpreted as the deviant actions of individuals isolated from the moral standards of the community. Rather, what develops through the decades leading up to compulsory attendance legislation (and even after) is a struggle over the contested territory of the school.

Rather, in cases where student opposition may be seen as an initiative directed against popular 156).

For Curtis, resistance meant events inside school necessarily contested territory of the school.

Before the 1850s, Curtis argues generally followed community necessarily contested territory of the school.

Regularity of attendance implicated the rhythms of season and the recovery of local populations, and different after 1850” (Curtis, 1988: 156).

For Curtis, resistance meant events inside school necessarily contested territory of the school.

School promoters in the last decades of the 19th century effectively enshrined schooling in a predominantly rural

Regularity of attendance implicated the rhythms of season and the recovery of local populations, and different after 1850” (Curtis, 1988: 201).

School promoters in the last decades of the 19th century effectively enshrined schooling in a predominantly rural

school and its curriculum of moral regulation and intransitive forms of resistance. Rurality in resistance is transitive while rurality as resistance is intransitive.
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Postcolonial theorist Bhabha (1994) uses the concepts “transitive” and “intransitive” to distinguish between overt and immanent forms of resistance. Rurality in resistance is transitive while rurality as resistance is intransitive.
the routines of formal schooling. The rural subject stands in opposition to structural resistance, that is, rural activities are at odds with the structure of schooling as part of an industrial and routinize work discipline that might be "useful," compliant, and normally organized industrial economy. Rurality embodies difference and variousness and even misguided normalizedness," progressivism, and the national, normal, and summer school teachers) possible in the remote rural areas inexperienced young teachers (1993), as instrument of state leverage and movement often failed and teachers realities or perish. Although more John's had by the early 20th century with the work of subjectification, "immersed," immersed in the localized to "outside interference" and state matters to be easy to resist protracted a, particularly for young men. What flow of working class adult responsibility can only be "bought" through

RURAL RESISTANCE AND NORMALIZATION

Contested territory of the school, which is the territory of subject formation in a predominantly rural society. Curtis writes:

Rather, in cases where students had the support of other community members, opposition may be seen as resistance in the face of political initiatives directed against popular character and popular culture (1988: 156).

For Curtis, resistance meant that children might not attend, nor would events inside school necessarily follow prescriptions (Curtis, 1988: 146). Before the 1850s, Curtis argues, schools were locally controlled and generally followed community values. The School Act of 1850 in Canada West effectively enshrined schools as a means of "civilising" and "improving" local populations, and where "pedagogical activity was radically different after 1850" (Curtis, 1988: 159). The new schooling presented a curriculum of moral regulation effectively striving to "replace the family," (and the community) subsequently placing children under state control (Curtis, 1988: 201).

School promoters in the last half of the 19th century were to lay the groundwork for habits and regularized social practices required for industrial routines. Regular attendance of children was thus an essential preoccupation. Regularity of attendance implied regularity of character in a population still attuned to the rhythms of seasons (Gaffield, 1987; Davey, 1978). Curtis speaks of this emphasis in terms of Durkheim's "moral constancy," claiming that school attendance was prerequisite to the enterprise of moral regulation through schooling. Curtis writes, "Regularity in school attendance was to produce regularity and stability in more general behaviour patterns, particularly in political behaviour" (1988: 183). Attendance became the locus of moral training as opposed to older forms of community controlled educational systems which were, "a locally controlled convenience adapted both to community needs and community desire" (Curtis, 1988: 199).

Curtis argues that the literature on structural conflict between rural labour and schooling, with its uniform work time as opposed to "task orientation" in rural communities and on farms, neglects resistance to the content and practices of schooling (1988: 187). Curtis does present clear evidence that this is so, but there is further published work showing that the rhythms of work, and the structure of everyday life in pre-industrial and rural industrial communities chafes against the formal structure and processes of schooling (Lucas, 1971; Corbett, 2001). Most oral histories and investigations in the tradition of social history (which take seriously the accounts of ordinary participants) of rural schools and schooling from the first half of the 20th century contain similar stories of community and student resistance (for example, Smith, 1996; McCann, 1982; Wilson, 1995;
Rural people resisted the long arm of the state, reaching into the public and private spaces of their lives. But it is the structure of work and life in rural communities that grounded this resistance in a reasonable lived alternative.

This alternative was simply the call of everyday, out-of-school life which, when available to rural students, has always proved too strong for many young people to resist. Earnest, yet alien projects of social normalisation promised 'options,' emancipation, and integration into a larger more interesting society and future riches associated with integration. Yet school was resisted because it was a kind of infantilizing "foolishness" identified in rural Nova Scotia by a Sterling County Study informant in the 1950s as all right for children but not for young men and women (Hughes, et. al., 1960). School was understood to be disconnected from life, standing in the way of maturity and the assumption of adult roles and responsibilities. In rural places, well into the present century, the opportunity to join the adult community as a full participant was available to children at least as young as thirteen or fourteen. This resistance to schooling, which amounted to

4 A rural school administrator in Nova Scotia once told me that the fact of one person with a university education working in the local fish plant makes a more powerful statement about the value of education in the community than a hundred successful graduates living and working elsewhere but whom nobody in the community sees.

The gender dimensions of rural schooling deserve more attention. I have found that in a Nova Scotian coastal community in the period between 1957 and 1998, young women stayed in school longer and were more academically successful than their male peers (Corbett, 2001). This, I argue was in large part due to the lack of opportunities for women in the gendered occupational structure of local primary industries. Since "girls just didn't" work in the woods or on fishing boats, and young women were faced with certain economic dependence and social marginalisation should they leave school early and "stay" in the community. For many women in my study, education was useful because virtually all independent future options required secondary education at the least, and outmigration.

5 Curtis analyses the conflicted development of the modern school in which it is generally (although not universally, particularly in the current legitimation crisis of the public school project) assumed that parents should hand their children over to professional educators to be educated in the formal places we now know as schools. From an urban perspective this normalisation was essentially complete by the 1920s and schools had assumed a "formal" structure and nearly universal acceptance (Sutherland, 1990; 1995; 1995b). Curtis sees this as a struggle which recalcitrant communities were doomed to lose to the rational administrative project of public schooling that eventually emerged in the 20th Century. Curtis phrases it this way

"Community regulation of the school was markedly weakened by the increasing solidity of administration and by increasing density of administrative relations. But community intervention remained common.... Only in the early 20th Century did the call of maturity and full economically valued independence be quashed by remaining in school and place where "children" are kept and good at school."

Many students, furthermore, members of the local community as soon as their physical capacities permitted, structured youth as "children" to be determined by anonymous others and good" (Curtis, 1988: 199).

This is a crucial point in Curtis's analysis of the modern school: "voluntarily" consent to public schooling comes not at the point of coercion where coercion is no longer necessary. Only then does disciplinary power become the key element of consent. Only if parents consented to the subordination of "children," only if parents were "good parents," and accepted the process of "children" and accepted the process of "children" and accepted the process of subordinating "children" to the process of success (Curtis, 1988: 342).

Yet this acceptance or denial of the understanding in time and place.

RURALITY AS RESISTANCE

Canada West/Ontario The ex-alpha

Curtis's analysis of the development of the modern school provides a theoretical framework for understanding the ties between administrators and school pupils. There are, however, difficulties in his communities, and most of his account not see rurality itself as "problems" between administrators and school pupils, individuals and groups upon whom project close to dismissing analysts who seek another critical problem is that the search for such 'good parents' (willing to support school community) common (1988: 356).
the long arm of the state, reaching into everyday life. But it is the structure of society that grounded this resistance in a call of maturity and full economic and social participation, was effectively quashed by remaining in school. In this context, school is a frivolous place where "children" are kept as children.

Many students, furthermore, were full fledged and largely independent members of the local community actively engaged in labour processes as soon as their physical capacities permitted. Schooling, by contrast, constructed youth as "children" to be governed by an alien "necessity," determined by anonymous others and imposed on them for their "own good" (Curtis, 1988: 199).

This is a crucial point in Curtis's argument. Only when parents "voluntarily" consent to public schooling can it succeed. The source of power comes not at the point of coercion, but on the contrary, at the point where coercion is no longer necessary because the subject has consented. Only then does disciplinary power begin to do its work. Overt force undermines the key element of consent, for it is only if parents consented to act in concert with the school in the subordination of "children," only if scholars consented to becoming "school children" and accepted the process of training would schooling entirely succeed (Curtis, 1988: 342).

Yet this acceptance or denial of the importance of schooling needs to be understood in time and place.

RURALITY AS RESISTANCE

CanadaWest/Ontario The establishment of public schooling

Curtis's analysis of the development of the Canadian educational state provides a theoretical framework for understanding resistance to schooling. There are, however, difficulties in his account. Although he speaks of rural communities, and most of his accounts are from rural areas, Curtis does not see rurality itself as "problematic." His account details the conflict between administrators and school promoters and often resistant ordinary individuals and groups upon whom this vision was imposed. Curtis comes close to dismissing analysts who see rural communities and rural life as the motor of resistance to schooling.

Curtis is, I think, correct to argue that the development of industrial capitalism required a meticulous kind of subject formation. However, another critical problem is that the development of industrial capitalism is...
not just concerned with the internal colonisation of the subject, but also with the colonisation of space. Urbanisation is intimately connected to the development of capitalism and the state itself. As Giddens writes, "without cities, there are no classes and no state" (1981: 144), and further that, the administrative order of the state in class-divided societies never penetrates the traditional organisation of local agrarian communities in the same manner as occurs subsequent to the development of capitalism (1981: 145).

Drawing on a strand in Marx's thinking about the development of capitalism, Giddens attends to the geography of capitalism as progressive "urbanisation of the countryside" (1981: 148) designed to "disembed" locally-oriented subjects and to draw into the ambit of capitalism increasingly wider spaces by extending the commodification of space out of the city and into the countryside. The problem here is that large parts of Canadian geography had not been institutionally penetrated by the state until the latter part of the 20th century.

Further, state penetration often remained ineffective as subject formation because of the typically non-standard form rural capitalism has taken. Many independent petty producers remained for many years (and remain) efficient in their unavoidable rural isolation (Brym and Sacouman, 1979; Matthews, 1976; Kearney, 1993; Johnson, 1999). In parts of Northern British Columbia, Northern Ontario, and in coastal fishing communities of Atlantic Canada for example, this partial isolation from institutions of normalisation shapes a particular kind of real and imagined independence that generates and supports development of local culture and community specific class structures and profitable capitalist work relations at the same time. I have described one such cultural space, where the exigencies of the local economy were dominated by petty production until well into the 1980s and formal secondary schooling remained a peripheral preoccupation for most young men (Corbett, 2001).

Localized culture was the object of the attention of the rural school promoter. As capitalist social relations penetrate and transform a subsistence economy, space and people have to be managed. Curtis and others as diverse as Strong-Boag, Wilson, Davey, and McCann document the role of schools in the vanguard of capitalist modernisation of “backward” places, and the people who live in them. Yet it must be said that this urbanisation of the countryside is neither a linear process nor is it yet complete.

The normalizing of schooling operates more effectively in the context of urban spaces, already colonized by linear constitution of space and work time. Simply by their resistance to becoming engineered spaces, rural areas were sites for the development of localised identities, community, and idio-

RURAL RESISTANCE AND NORMALIZATION

It can also be argued that productive, created or managed spaces. For example, Pocius argues that Newfoundland coastal communities were part of the education of young men to intimate knowledge of gendered production (Berry, 1977; Theobald, 1997). Quoting a primary source of the Newfoundland coast (Curtis, 1978) on male schoolboys (16 to 20) in many farming communities, “to peruse old studies and work, “farmers’ reliance on the myth of rural stagnation and thepressures on the farms and their impunctuality, irregular work and mobility were taught to save money” (Davey, 1978: 6). In his ethnography of coastal communities (Ross, 1978), Pocius shows that this knowledge, Pocius shows that schooling was part of the education of young men to intimate knowledge of gendered production. This knowledge, Pocius shows that to do formal education in other parts of the education of young men (Theobald, 1997).
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syncratic forms of resistance to the project of schooling. In rural
communities with relatively simple divisions of labour, where many workers
performed similar if not the same kinds of work, and particularly where this
work was connected closely to the land and to resource extraction, the
productive activity itself may require a certain “freedom” that generates
resistance to formal state normalisation through schooling. Curtis fails
adequately to understand the resistance implied by the productive and spatial
structure of the rural community.

Ian Davey’s groundbreaking study of attendance records in the schools
of Canada West between 1851 and 1871 illustrates this problem (1978).
Davey shows that despite apparent acceptance of the idea of the common
school, the practice of school attendance was sporadic. Davey explained this
by the pressing need of families to work (moving about to do so in many
cases) and to survive in difficult conditions of boom and bust. Contrary to
the myth of rural stagnation and immobility, country life has often meant
frequent migration and multiple occupations. Trade depressions, crop
failures, transient work patterns and seasonal employment made schooling
nearly impossible even for parents who sincerely wanted their children in
school. The schoolmen blamed “the migratory ‘habits’ of the working class
(which) were perceived as part of their general want of discipline along with
their impunctuality, irregular work habits, affection for alcohol and inability
to save money” (Davey, 1978: 233), but it was clear that the lessons of
work and mobility were taught in the school of life. In seasonally urgent
work, “farmers’ reliance on their children’s labour was almost universal,”
particularly for older children (Davey, 1978: 239). As for children them-

6It can also be argued that because rural spaces often have to be wild to be
productive, created or managed space takes on a different form in rural environ-
ments. For example, Pocius argues that socio-spatial identity formation in
Newfoundland coastal communities has been crucial to exploitation of resources on
land and sea (1991). In his ethnographic analysis of life in a coastal village a large
part of the education of young men and women is taken up in the development of
intimate knowledge of gendered productive local spaces. Because they have acquired
this knowledge, Pocius shows that people are much less likely to leave the village or
to do formal education in other (urban) places. Others have made similar arguments
(Berry, 1977; Theobald, 1997; Creed and Cheng, 1997; Corbett, 2001).

7Quoting a primary source of the day, Davey notes that the “older boys” (aged
16 to 20) in many farming communities would often return to school in the winter
months, “to peruse old studies and make further advancement” (Davey, 1978: 241).
A more likely reading of this behaviour is that rather than returning to peruse old
studies, these lads were no doubt returning to peruse a new schoolmarm.
between seeing people prosper by "muscle and cunning" and the hollow promise of freedom from toil that schooling offered (Davey, 1978: 245).

Gaffield (1987) presents a similar picture for settlers in Prescott County in Eastern Ontario, where children were intimately connected not only to family life but played an important economic part in it. Gaffield describes a labour-intensive attempt to achieve survival and security, most Francophone children worked at home, in the woods and perhaps at the mills. School was simply not important ... most Francophone children were simply producers not pupils (1987: 110).

By the 1880s work was less pressing, particularly for younger children. As a whole, "the local economy offered less productive opportunity for children" (1987: 93). This is not to say that attachment to the land and to the family as the principal social unit did not remain strong as the système agro-forestier functioned in part as a collection of family economies that sought full participation from every family member. Therefore the experience of childhood involved integration into productive activity. (Gaffield, 1987: 97).

Gaffield argues schooling became routine only when rural communities and farms were sufficiently established to spare child labour, and then only until these children grew strong enough to find "real" work of their own. It was absence of work that made school important. For large numbers on the uncertain margins of rural subsistence poverty, this day never arrived.

**British Columbia: Agricultural education and the rural problem in the early 20th century**

As Canadian agricultural society developed and mechanised, the land no longer required or invited child labour. Nonetheless, in rural areas and in developing urban metropolis, "country life" was thought nourishing and "natural" in a way city life could never be. Nostalgic and simplistic memories of 19th century manual farming meant agricultural education in British Columbia before 1930 was doomed to fail (Jones, 1978). In the agricultural education movement we see the convergence of the normalizing project of schooling and community-grounded agricultural experience. Jones asserts that schooling "actively worsened the rural problem by facilitating movement from the land" (1978: iii). The agricultural education movement peaked with the federal Agricultural Instruction Act in 1913.

The Act was designed to promote school agricultural programs as a way to valorise rural experience in the eyes of country children and to purify the lives of urban children who lived in the dirty, unnatural bustle of the industrial landscape.

Somewhat ironically, Jones chided because agricultural education stigmatised against agriculture. Many parents and were more concerned with things they could learn from school as separate from life in the separateness the school was child student might be "elevated." The view and the underlying reality of their "aura of mystery and sophistication" has considerable credence. One of butterflies to inculcate much of students or show them much and their families wrested a living.

Somewhat ironically, Jones claimed this resistance arose in some part because agricultural education ran counter to the social and economic stigma against agriculture. Many parents saw schools as "theoretical places" and were more concerned with seeing their children taught the 3R's rather than things they could learn from life without formal instruction. As Jones suggests, "not everyone was pleased with the notion that school and community ought to be doing the same things" (Jones, 1978: 333). Still, this sentiment does support the conclusion that rural inhabitants saw the school as separate from life in the community, and perhaps also, that in its separateness the school was claiming a higher ground onto which the student might be "elevated." I think there is evidence to suggest that this view and the underlying reality of refined and "alien" urban teachers and their "aura of mystery and sophistication" (Wilson and Stortz, 1993: 286) has considerable credence. One could hardly expect such strange, transient butterflies to inculcate much of a sense of "rural mindedness" in country students or show them much about the work on the land from which they and their families wrested a living.

After the First World War, progressivism led British Columbia school administrators to give special consideration to what they termed the "rural school problem" (Wilson and Stortz, 1995). In response to dismal conditions in rural schools, the Putman Weir Commission of 1924 tackled rural schooling directly. During the 1920s British Columbia administrators embarked on systematic extension of state education to far-flung areas by training and placing progressive "rural-minded" teachers in remote communities; seeking to retain teachers in communities for longer periods; and providing better pay for rural teachers (Wilson and Stortz, 1995: 216-27). The Putman Weir Commission adopted Deweyan ideas, exhorting teachers to begin with the experience of the child. In the rural school that meant teachers and curriculum workers would pay attention to the place where experience occurred, the rural community. The rural teacher in this scheme was expected to become "a socialising agent" in the community, a civilising force, the representative of the educational state in remote areas (Wilson and Stortz, 1995: 212). These teachers found rustic frontier communities where families required their children to work and where attendance was sporadic. Rural teachers typically became pawns in local power games, not community leaders. Wilson and Stortz write that, "[Although] Victoria

*I began my teaching career in northern Manitoba in the early 1980s. In response to a progressive education initiative that would have Northern Cree students study First Nations culture in the school, one trapper responded, "I'll teach my kids to read tracks in the snow, you teach them to read tracks on paper."
expected [the] teacher to serve as a community leader, at the local level she tended to be looked on as a community servant" (1993: 282). Wilson and Stortz considered the project entirely ill-conceived, "fundamentally misguided" (1993: 286), immensely ignorant of rural realities, and its teachers poorly trained and paid.

In almost complete isolation, inexperienced young teachers faced everything from a lack of school resources, to poverty and lack of food and clothing for children, to second-language difficulties, to disease, to playing off competing interests in the community and negotiating social space between rival families, to children more interested in what the local farmers were doing than getting to school (Wilson and Stortz, 1993; Stephenson, 1995; Smith, 1996).

A former teacher recalled:

I asked the other pupils about this boy's 'punctuality', or lack of it, to which they replied that they could not remember when he had arrived on time. He loved to chat and visit farmers at work in the fields and would often ride on the tractor with them (Smith, 1996: 74).

For many children in rural communities, life beckoned. Wilson and Stortz comment that although 'Compulsory schooling was legislated in British Columbia in 1921, it had little effect in frontier communities in the remote North Central region of the province (1993: 277).

Neil Sutherland finds a similar pattern of child labour and sporadic school attendance in frontier communities established as recently as in the late 1950s (1995a). On the basis of oral history interviews, Sutherland found that work on the land describes a childhood filled by children throughout modern Can had reached 90% by the age of sixteen (Sutherland, 1990). Work, in and outside the home, remains central in the lives of children in the industrial age. It is not until

---

8Rural sociologists have made similar claims in the 1990s arguing that much of the literature in educational sociology is urban-biased, presenting a picture of Canadian society that ignores the fundamentally different structure of opportunities and forms of cultural capital available to rural youth. See Looker (1993) and Looker and Dwyer (1998) for a discussion of this problem with respect to the sociology of educational aspirations and attainment. Jones provides similar analysis in her investigation of migration and educational decision making of rural youth in England (1999a, 1999b). Seyfrit and her colleagues have also analysed analogous questions in Alaska and in coastal Virginia (Seyfrit, 1998; Seyfrit and Hamilton, 1997; Seyfrit and Danner, 1999).

9In urban areas Sutherland finds a similar, if less pronounced, relationship between school, work, and community life. Confronting the assumption that the problem of child labour was eliminated with industrialisation and child labour legislation, and at the same time suggesting that historians reappraise the place of work done by children in their socialisation and broad education, Sutherland suggests that work played a significant part of childhood experience in Vancouver until at least the 1960s, often competing with school (1990). Work, in and outside the home, remains central in the lives of children in the industrial age. It is not until the 1960s that significant structural changes occurred which shifted the balance of power and necessity of helping their families, now done mainly by labour-saving technologies like gas, oil, and electricity. However, the vast majority of children in Canada, in the 1920s, an apparent contradiction. 

---

Québec and Newfoundland, 1930-1990

In a recent article Southcott has examined debates between modernization and rural development (1999). The cultural and identity and precapitalism--a similar impasse has significant weaknesses in historical studies. Sutherland writes that neither a liberal nor Marxian approach explains rural development in Quebec, nor a Marxist one. Thus, Bourdieu's supposed sociological and historical weakness is absent from the debate.
land describes a childhood filled with incessant toil like that of pioneer children throughout modern Canadian history. Children were generally considered “useful” at eight years of age and capable of doing adult work by the age of sixteen (Sutherland, 1995a: 139). Children’s free labour in large part built many homesteads. Despite a brutal regimen of work in, “a community in the throes of creating itself” (Sutherland, 1995a: 125) and the obvious tension between the need for a child’s labour and the demands of schooling, Sutherland found that by 1921 attendance in elementary schools had stabilized at around 90% provincially, where it would remain until into World War II. By 1939–40 average provincial attendance in high schools had reached 90% (Sutherland, 1995a: 127). In frontier communities, though, students still stayed out of school at key times, but otherwise balanced an onerous work-study routine. Sutherland (1995a) makes the point that work on the land provided young people with an important informal education for the lives most ended up living in communities on the northern agricultural fringe. Sutherland’s analysis does not differentiate between rural and urban attendance statistics.

Québec and Newfoundland, 1930–70

In a recent article Southcott has argued that the study of regional inequality outside Québec has been stalled by an inability to get around theoretical debates between modernization- and dependency-theory accounts of Canadian rural development (1999). This has led to denigration of matters of cultural and identity and preoccupation with structural analysis. Because identity and culture have been central preoccupations of Québécois intellectuals, a similar impasse has not developed to as great an extent in sociological and historical studies there. Even so, Bouchard argues there are significant weaknesses in historical studies of rural Québec (1997). He writes that neither a liberal analysis of industrialization displacing rural community, nor a Marxist dependency model of uneven development, explains rural development in Québec, for both assign the rural economy a marginal status. Thus, Bouchard argues, historical accounts document the 1960s that significant structural changes occur to free children from the necessity of helping their families economically and physically with menial work now done mainly by labour saving devices and replaced by automatic heating sources like gas, oil, and electricity. However, Sutherland claims that schools were regularly attended by the vast majority of children between the ages of 5 and 16 from the 1920s, an apparent contradiction.
allegedly important changes in the urban metropolis assuming rural areas follow apace or remain stereotypical backwaters.

Out of the long history of social and economic transformation in Canada, Bouchard argues Canadian historiography has developed a notion of rational agribusiness as the pinnacle of normal rural development in an “ideal type of capitalism” (1997: 32). This stereotype of rational capitalist development sits in opposition to the community-based, backward, pre-capitalist farmer. Both constructions obscure the complexity of people who lived in rural and urban communities. He calls for a critical review of “the historiography of the English Canadian, and more particularly, the Ontario farming economy” (1997: 32).

Bouchard examines the history of the Saguenay region, finding a multiple relationship between rural communities on one hand, and family agricultural operations on the other. Bouchard investigates rural community development in terms of the strategies of rural dwellers to maintain family autonomy and prosperity all the while benefiting from capitalist social relations and wider forms of trade. Bouchard documents the transition from a traditional rural economy to what he calls co-integration with the modern economy where significant aspects of family production, expansion of land settlement, and multi-activity linkages with wage labour of various kinds, to more recent total integration into the capitalist economy. Schooling did not play a significant part in the development of the traditional rural economy for the majority of Québécois, but Bouchard cites the entrenchment of compulsory schooling between 1930 and 1950 among the chief factors in the demise of the co-integrated community. Bouchard found strong support for schooling in rural Quebec dating at least to the 1930s, “when the construction of a school was hailed with the same enthusiasm as a new road or even a hospital” (1997: 30).

Through the transitional period which marked the movement from co-integration into what Bouchard calls more complete integration of rural people into wage labour, a generation was lost (1997: 30). Lacking either the access to land that allowed success in the co-integrated economy, or the education necessary in larger labour markets, an intermediate “lost generation” was a major force propelling social change. Because this “lost generation” was compelled by social and economic transformation, they saw the importance of education as a source of cultural capital for their children. The rural poor understood the change around them and pushed their children through education. These lost-generation parents effectively “shunted” their children toward urban areas and opportunities in the integrated urban communities that were developing rapidly in Québec after World War II. If there was resistance to the expansion of educational opportunity in Québec society by the entrenched elite. This
trend was a major force for modernisation from the minimal educational changes brought about by the grassroots; it was precisely what
(Bouchard, 1997: 30)
Bouchard suggests fishing communities co-integration.

McCann’s analyses of the social change in Newfoundland
In many respects Newfoundland, even though it has a long history of settlement, the educational state in Newfoundland in the 1940s, participants in McCann’s (1994) analyses of the “fisher-folk” society outside St. John’s appeared after World War II. The reach of the state. For McCann, in structure (with church-commun
only in 1997).

Explaining Newfoundland’s industrialisation, McCann (1994) expected to find the centre of the economy and have a more diverse picture inter
serving to shape Newfoundland in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Newfoundland society in the 20th century Newfoundland was a proportion of surplus capital
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opportunity in Québec society between 1930 and the 1950s, it came from the entrenched elite. This transitional generation was...

...a major force for modernisation. It is that generation that suffered most from the minimal education that had been the norm up until then... the changes brought about by the quiet Revolution were long desired by the grass roots; it was precisely at the top of society that there was resistance.

(Bouchard, 1997: 30)

Bouchard suggests fishing communities could also be analysed in terms of co-integration.¹¹

McCann's analyses of the slow entrenchment of schooling in Newfoundland

In many respects Newfoundland has been, until recently, a frontier society despite a long history of settlement. McCann suggests the task of building the educational state in Newfoundland is just beginning (1994). Before the 1940s, participants in McCann's (1982) oral history project describe a “fisher-folk” society outside St. John's and the industrial communities that appeared after World War II. This is a society of fishing people outside the reach of the state.¹² For McCann, the result was an education system arcane in structure (with church-controlled education, eliminated by legislation only in 1997).

Explaining Newfoundland schooling in light of economic history, McCann (1994) expected to find the progress of schooling would mirror that of the economy and have a “direct correlation.” What he found was a more diverse picture interacting economics, religion, and politics, all serving to shape Newfoundland's public educational experience. In the 19th century Newfoundland was a colonial society from which a significant proportion of surplus capital was drained, leaving few public funds for...

¹¹Bouchard writes “In fishing areas the sea plays quite the same role as fallow land, as an apparently unlimited pool of resources; there is often the same seasonal employment, the same multi-activity and so forth” (1997: 34).

¹²For an critical analysis of the idea of the “folk” in Nova Scotian cultural affairs in the 20th Century see MacKay (1994). MacKay argues that Nova Scotians constructed themselves and were constructed as pre-modern rustics promoting a kinder, gentler way of life for the consumption of Ontario and American tourists seeking to escape the stress and strain of modernity. The image of the fisher folk is central to this construction but it in no way represented accurately Nova Scotian society in the 20th or even the 19th Century when, as MacKay comments the average Nova Scotia worker was far more likely to be a service, coal, steel or factory worker than a fisherman.
schools (McCann, 1994: 244). Internal colonialism and an urban-rural divide created conditions for vastly unequal access and quality of schooling.

McCann saw a consistent pattern of government neglect of education until Confederation in 1949. The Newfoundland state simply did not interest itself in normalisation and development of modernizing institutions like school. Governments could not see the advantages of a literate and educated population as the foundation of personal development, social innovation, energetic intellectual debate and invigorated economic and political life, or as an element in the development of a "nationally imagined community" (McCann, 1994: 248).

In the rural areas the problems of the pre-industrial character of the fishery through the early decades of the current century, the devastating impact of Depression and war left Newfoundland schools in the 1940s in little better shape than in the early part of the century, or even in the latter part of the 19th century. Inspector Ralph Andrews, whose career in education spanned the 1930s and 40s, described subsistence in rural communities where children had to work and where school life fitted seasonal rhythms. It was traditional in some areas for children not to go to school "until after the potatoes were picked [in October]" (McCann, 1982: 65). McCann comments:

[E]ducation for its own sake—as might be expected in a society in which a living from the sea had to be wrested by hard work—was less valued than a belief in the importance of practicality in everyday life (1994: 250).

There is little in McCann's analysis to suggest that this had changed substantially into the 1950s.

The call of everyday life, following declining fish prices and a contraction in the fishery before 1940, opened out into other resource extraction opportunities in the mines, in the woods, and in the mills and foreign-owned companies established in Newfoundland to exploit land-based resources (McCann, 1994: 186). Economic attraction pulled more rural youth from school, and exacerbated educational inequality as schools in company towns were better supported than those in coastal communities. Reflecting on a career in education from the 1940s to the 1970s, Paul Kirby commented that a lot of youngsters left school, "as soon as they were able to haul in a handline" (McCann, 1982: 144). Senator F. W. Rowe, Minister of Education between 1956 and 1959 and then again between 1967 and 1971, put these matters in stark terms:

Traditionally we always had to numbers of Newfoundland they were concerned with the picking, with killing seals, with became big enough to get to help his father in this thing else, he would be gone.

Community life stood in comments present the concep problem ultimately solved through the 1960s. Like other rural Canadians, drive to remake and relocate the

CONCLUSION: CONTEMPORARY

It is possible for an organized environment to be able to survive conditions. The same possibility become heavily dependent on industries (Frickel and Frey 1992: 169-72). Fishing is only one example of diligence and was obliged to

By 1942, compulsory schooling and Québec, more than half a Canadian jurisdictions. The road, the co-integrated system was simultaneously end was modernizing and mechanizing (1992: 169-72). Yet labour constant for many people for boom in the 1970s and 1980s, draggers and into the fish plants Scotia could make as much as a $200 out of herring for the Japanese, draggers could make six-figure captains in the inshore fleet be.

13 An anonymous reviewer of this article suggested that government neglect of rural schools may be seen as yet another support for the argument of the urban bias in the schooling project.
Traditionally we always had to ram education down the throats of large numbers of Newfoundland people. They were concerned with the fish, they were concerned with the firewood, they were concerned with berry picking, with killing seals, with the extractive industries; as soon as a boy became big enough to get out on the flake or to get down on the stage, or to help his father in this way or that way with the vegetables, with anything else, he would be gone. (McCann, 1982: 48)

Community life stood in opposition to life in schools. Rowe's comments present the conception of community as "the problem," a problem ultimately solved through the outport resettlement project of the 1960s. Like other rural Canadians, rural Newfoundlanders resisted the drive to remake and relocate them (Matthews, 1976; 1993).

CONCLUSION: CONTEMPORARY RURAL RESISTANCE

It is possible for an organism to become too finely tuned to a given environment to be able to survive in the face of changing environmental conditions. The same possibility may exist for remote regions that have become heavily dependent on, or closely adapted to, large scale extraction industries (Frickel and Freudenburg, 1996: 447).

By 1942, compulsory schooling was finally established in Newfoundland and Québec, more than half a century after similar legislation several Canadian jurisdictions. The days of the quaint isolated village down a dirt road, the co-integrated système agro-forestier, the schooners and men in dories were simultaneously ending. By the early 1950s, the Atlantic fishery was modernizing and mechanizing with state support (Apostle and Barrett, 1992: 169–72). Yet labour-intensive work in the fishery would remain constant for many people for many years to come and there would be a boom in the 1970s and 1980s, drawing young people to the scallop and fish draggers and into the fish plants where teenage boys in southwest Nova Scotia could make as much as a school teacher shucking scallops or cutting roe out of herring for the Japanese market (Corbett, 1991). Deck hands on draggers could make six-figure incomes in the 1980s while successful captains in the inshore fleet became millionaires. Through it all the small

Sawyer's narrative account of teaching in a Newfoundland outport in the 1970s provides a graphic illustration of the disjuncture between schooling and community life (1979). Sawyer saw liberal pedagogy as the appropriate way to bridge the gap.
boats remained, albeit in dwindling numbers. Independent operators, the “little guys,” resisted being swallowed up by big capital and resisted the lure of the kind of money a fisherman could make on the bigger boats, crewing for another man. And they remain fishing off the southwest coast of Nova Scotia.

Jacques Ferland and Christopher Wright (1996) seek to explain rurality in Canada. They argue labour history is shot through with an urban bias that promotes the erroneous assumption 20th century Canada developed an industrial base and magically became modern. Sandwell (1994) and Bouchard (1997) argue similarly. As Donald Wilson and his students suggest, a persistent urban bias has been evident in studies of the history of Canadian education. The Canadian periphery has remained significantly influential in the lives of the many people who have lived there, and key institutions like the public school have had very different histories in rural communities.

Northrop Frye commented that in Canada’s rural areas, people developed what he called a “garrison mentality.” Frye claims an intimate narrowness and intensity comes from living at close quarters surrounded by a huge unknown, unpredictable geographic fact, the enormous land. In rural Canada, communities are typically buffered by the problems and uncertainty of subsistence production and chronic migration to the urban “core” (Brym and Sacouman, 1979). Ferland and Wright suggest that with respect to labour, to communities, and to subjectivities in them, rural and urban Canada may be “distinct societies.”

It should not be forgotten, however, that people form distinct societies and that past generations of Australian and Canadian people have not simply lived and worked in replicas of major industrial centres (1996: 142). Atlantic Canada, for instance, provides an example of a region without a significant industrial base and in which chronic occupational uncertainty is normal.

Apostle and Barrett (1992) and Davis (1991) argue that, following World War II, the East Coast fishery was significantly capitalized and industrialized through invasive fishing technologies, creating what they term “rural industrialisation.” In response to what was considered to be a backward and inefficient fishery, the federal state financed the expansion of capacity and the size of boats by offering subsidies and low interest loans for fishermen and corporations.

Transferrable fish quotes and limits right to have access to the resource for boat fishing families to sell their result has been a changed fishery. The traditional inshore waters with conditions not unlike those of fishing hard. The result has been an increment of federal transfers, boat compromise (perhaps fatal in rural communities.

The result for young people has been diminished opportunities for work. The most recent crisis in the fishery is because they are “getting small. Digby County dropout study opportunities in the fishery are severe.

This picture of schooling before the crisis is of structural conflict between the two sides. It generates, and the project of schooling sought (often unsuccessfully) to dance into high school had become an urban counterpart in its core regions. In a rush to forget to deny the rusticity of the popular “important others” (that is, Embrace the national and global race to education, a rush to forget the rusticity of the provincial government. In the rush to embrace the national and global change, the local and rural have been subsumed by the state and become subsumed by the state and become its urban counterpart in its core.

The rural community is subjected to the demands of the market and its urban counterpart in its core regions. In eastern coastal Nova Scotia, government cowboy and fish products as the province’s chief resource (Nova Scotia, 1999).

15In a recent article, popular journalist Gwynn Dyre has commented that the coming crisis for the Canadian federal system may not be linguistically based, but rather, drawn out along rural-urban lines between rich multiethnic cities and poor uni-ethnic rural areas (2001).
Independent operators, the help by big capital and resisted the lure of the bigger boats, crewing off the southwest coast of Nova Scotia. Wright (1996) seek to explain rurality as shot through with an urban bias. In 20th century Canada developed an urban modern. Sandwell (1994) and Donald Wilson and his students evident in studies of the history of modernity have remained significantly. People who have lived there, and key have very different histories in rural Canada's rural areas, people developmental. Frye claims an intimate living at close quarters surrounded by the enormous land. In fact, the enormous land. Inally buffeted by the problems and chronic migration to the urban, the rural and Wright suggest that with to subjectivities in them, rural and urban. Ferland and Wright comment: that people form distinct societies that have lived there, and key have very different histories in rural Canada's rural areas, people developmental. While in urban areas school attendance into high school had become normal, Ryerson's attendance problems of the 1840s had not been solved in marginal rural communities a full century later. In a rush to forget the inevitable importance of rural Canada, to deny the rusticity of the popular imagery that defines Canadian-ness to "important others" (that is, Europeans and particularly Americans), and to embrace the national and global state and corporatist vision, we may have cultivated an uncritical acceptance that the rural community is effectively dead in the face of the modernizing power of consumerism, bureaucracy and urbanisation (Bonner, 1997; Creed and Cheng, 1997). In an important sense, we have tried to educate modern rural communities away.

The rural community is nevertheless alive, its distinctiveness not subsumed by the state and big capital, and it is substantially different from its urban counterpart in its continuing strong ties to the land, the sea, and resources. In eastern coastal communities a variety of analysts have found a strong sense of family, community, and place at the heart of the modern for fishermen and corporations wishing to ‘modernise’ their operations. Transferrable fish quotes and limited entry licensing have commodified the right to have access to the resource, making it financially attractive for small boat fishing families to sell their fishing rights to the highest bidder. The result has been a changed fishery where fewer independent operators fish the traditional inshore waters while more large vessels employing crew in conditions not unlike those of factory labour fish the offshore, and fish it hard. The result has been an increasing standard of living in the region augmented by federal transfers, bought at the price of depopulation and the compromise (perhaps fatal in some places) of fish stocks.

The result for young people is that industrialisation in the fishery diminished opportunities for work in the fishery, but most radically since the most recent crisis in the East Coast fishery. Recently, young people have begun to stay in school, not because many of them want to, but because they are "getting smart" as one of the informants in the 1991 Digby County dropout study put it; they are coming to realise that opportunities in the fishery are severely limited (Corbett, 1991).

This picture of schooling between the mid-19th and mid-20th centuries is of structural conflict between community life and the local subjectivities it generates, and the project of schooling and the subjectivities the state has sought (often unsuccessfully) to produce. While in urban areas school attendance into high school had become normal, Ryerson's attendance problems of the 1840s had not been solved in marginal rural communities a full century later. In a rush to forget the inevitable importance of rural Canada, to deny the rusticity of the popular imagery that defines Canadian-ness to "important others" (that is, Europeans and particularly Americans), and to embrace the national and global state and corporatist vision, we may have cultivated an uncritical acceptance that the rural community is effectively dead in the face of the modernizing power of consumerism, bureaucracy and urbanisation (Bonner, 1997; Creed and Cheng, 1997). In an important sense, we have tried to educate modern rural communities away.

The rural community is nevertheless alive, its distinctiveness not subsumed by the state and big capital, and it is substantially different from its urban counterpart in its continuing strong ties to the land, the sea, and resources. In eastern coastal communities a variety of analysts have found a strong sense of family, community, and place at the heart of the modern industrial centres (1996: 142). An example of a region without a chronic occupational uncertainty is Digby (1991) argue that, following Dyre has commented that the may not be linguistically based, but between rich multiethnic cities and poor.
fishing economy despite modernisation (Apostle and Barrett, 1992; Kearney, 1993; Porter, 1993; Davis, 1995; Matthews, 1976; Brym and Sacouman, 1979). My own analysis of migration patterns for Digby Neck in southwestern Nova Scotia between 1963 and 1998 found that more than 60% of the population of small coastal villages remained in the local area despite a rapidly declining fishery. Additionally, the most recent cohort in this study, those who reached 18 years of age between 1987 and 1998, were less mobile than older cohorts with more than 65% remaining in the local area (Table 1).

![Table 1](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohorts</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Stayers</th>
<th>Migrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50+ km.</td>
<td>50+ km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963–74</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>155 (55.2%)</td>
<td>126 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975–86</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>144 (64.3%)</td>
<td>80 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987–98</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>137 (65.6%)</td>
<td>72 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>436 (61.1%)</td>
<td>278 (38.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although this local structure displays significant elements of sexism, xenophobia, and racism, it also offers a connection to other people and to other places that resist state regulation at any level. Such people may be those Foucault referred to as “determined inhabitants of space,” standing in opposition to “pious descendants of time” (Foucault, 1986: 22). Although this local structure displays significant elements of sexism, xenophobia, and racism, it also offers a connection to other people and to other places that resist state regulation at any level. Such people may be those Foucault referred to as “determined inhabitants of space,” standing in opposition to “pious descendants of time” (Foucault, 1986: 22).18

The “local area” was defined by residents in the ethnographic fieldwork part of this study as being within 50 kilometres of the community of origin. For methodological details see Corbett (2001).

18Kincheloe and Pinar (1991) claim that residents of the southern United States are much attached to place. They also advance the notion that southerners distrust the abstract and prefer the concrete to the theoretical. Perhaps such typically rural people, including those in rural Atlantic Canada, oppose theory because they know what it wants; it wants to digest their experience, package it and present it to foreign audiences living outside the place where those experiences actually happen. Perhaps Foucault’s pious descendants of time are those who would package place in the same way rendering it meaningless in the dislocated forward march of progress or simply, history, a force that moves on regardless of individual will.
Educational historians should continue to take note of this difference from urban norms, and to challenge assumptions about state hegemony and the shape of "Canadian education" as though this were a uniform entity. This work will require studies of the importance of place, of localized economies, and of social patterns in "places history forgot."

Contemporary Canadian historical scholarship has begun to explore the richness of life and the variety of forms of capitalist development. As a nation, Canada has been and continues to be "addicted to staples export" (Ferland and Wright, 1996). Life on the land and on the water remains part of experience for a significant minority of Canadian. As a consequence, the development of the institutions of social normalization outlined by Curtis has spread out unevenly across the Canadian landscape. If education came to be firmly established in much of Canada as early as the first decades of the 20th century, community social and economic conditions did not readily permit schooling to penetrate community life in marginal places like the British Columbian northern interior, the Canadian north in general, or Atlantic fishing communities.

As late as the 1960s frontier settlements in Western Canada provided children and their parents with a world that not unlike that of early 19th-century settlers in Ontario. As a consequence, the ability of "marginal" children to attend school on a regular basis was limited by necessity and by active resistance to school. Likewise the lure of adult roles in the community made available in a 19th-century "pre-industrial" economy (and in later co-integrated and rural industrial economies) continued, and still continues to pull many young people out of school, generating a "cocky" yet experientially-grounded resistance to the idea that school is necessary for success.

My own research suggests that levels of formal schooling remain much lower in rural communities than Canadian and provincial averages. 1996 Census micro-data show that nearly twice as many residents of a selected Nova Scotian coastal community (Western Digby Neck) have not completed any degree (including a high school diploma) compared to the Canadian average (See Table 2).
TABLE 2
HIGHEST DEGREE ACHIEVED EXPRESSED AS A PERCENTAGE
OF THE POPULATION 15+ FOR CANADA, NOVA SCOTIA, SOUTHWEST NOVA,
AND THE WESTERN DIGBY NECK CENSUS ENUMERATION AREAS, 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Nova Scotia</th>
<th>Southwest Nova Scotia</th>
<th>Western Digby Neck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population 15+</td>
<td>22,678,925</td>
<td>719,975</td>
<td>56,230</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No degree</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 12 Dip.</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade certificate/ dip.</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-univ/ cert.</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some university</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Bachelor</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fewer than 10 persons. Data massaged to protect individual identities.
Source: Census of Canada 1996 micro-data.

Using 1991 labour force survey data and 1986 census material, the average educational attainment in Digby Town and Municipality was less than Grade 10, with nearly 65% of the population never having reached high school (Corbett, 1991).

School remains peripheral for many residents of Atlantic coastal communities, and large numbers of these people have not yet been brought into the disciplinary nexus of the Canadian educational state for better and for worse. The debate about the usefulness of schooling beyond the rudimentary level is only just beginning. Only in the past couple of decades have most residents of Atlantic coastal communities agreed that extended schooling for adolescents is worthwhile. Only in the past decade, in the wake of the apparently permanent crisis in the fishery, has this idea found firm support in face of the lack of practical alternatives.

The recent crisis in the fishery has created a new set of social and economic conditions that have changed common perceptions of the value of school for working people. In other words, the context which supports prolonger formal education is the fear that fishing as a way of life is gone for good. As an informant said in Anthony Davis’ study of the fishing industry on Digby Neck, “Around her ya either fish, work with fish or hang around and throw rocks at gulls. That’s all there is.” (1991: 15) School and upgrading are becoming alternatives to throwing rocks at secondary and post-secondary companies the demise of labour.”

that rural schooling tends to ushering youth out of their con
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and upgrading are becoming for many people, young and not so young, the alternative to throwing rocks at gulls. I suggest the entrenchment of formal secondary and post-secondary schooling as a normative practice accompanies the demise of labour-intensive rural economies. My research shows that rural schooling tends to play an ambivalent role of unintentionally ushering youth out of their communities, usually for good.

Wendell Berry claims, in *The Unsettling of America* (1977), that American history can be read as the story of the displacement of any group that has attempted to settle permanently and care for the land. This practice began with First Nations people, the first of a long line of people who were defined as “savages.” Modern savages are more likely to be farmers or people who work in logging or fishing. Their rustic demeanour and “environmentally unfriendly” work put them outside civilised society and it is easy to condemn them and their communities to obscurity and decline. The rusticity of rural people is a primitivist notion historians of education have often taken at face value. A more careful and critical history of rural Canada will show how groups of rural dwellers have been displaced or persisted in the face of powerful forces and interests who wanted control of the spaces they inhabit.
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I am grateful to Lorraine O’Donnell for correspondence involving the below, a variety of sources, including Circulaires des Evêques de Québec et Cie., 1888; and Rapport du Lieutenant-Gouverneur (Quebec: Imprimeur de Sa Majesté, 1864). Buller’s letter to the Bishop of Quebec, AA, 60CN, Govt. des Écoles, dated ‘23.10.1838.’ Other portions of his school act, dated “29.10.1838” and “29 Oct: 1838,” are dated in his own hand “29 Oct: 1838” and “25 Oct: 1838.” Buller wrote,

“My dear Sir, I will have the goodness to communicate the nature of the religious instruction & request his lordship’s communications. I have lost no time in the accompanying paper. My whole plan will certainly be perfected & therefore if his lordship should refuse it I shall be deprived of the advantage of it. It is of great importance to me—I pray God no time in the matter. It certainly does go stronger—but you do submit to it so persist. I remain yours very sincerely Arthur Buller”
Irish Schools for Canada: Arthur Buller to the Bishop of Quebec, 1838

Bruce Curtis

INTRODUCTION

The letter transcribed below is from Arthur Buller (1809–69), Lord Durham’s education commissioner, to Msgr. Joseph Signány (1778–1850), Bishop of Quebec. It dates from late October 1838.¹

¹ I am grateful to Lorraine O’Donnell for research assistance. Much of the relevant correspondence involving the bishops and the Durham mission has been published in a variety of sources, including Quebec, Archdiocese, Mandements, lettres pastorales et circulaires des Evêques de Québec, vol. troisième (Québec: Imprimerie Générale A. Côté et Cie., 1888); and Rapport de L’archiviste de la Province de Québec pour 1938–1939 (Québec: Imprimeur de Sa Majesté le Roi, 1939). The incoming correspondence is less readily available. Buller’s letter on religious instruction is in Archives de l’Archidiocèse de Québec, AA, 60CN, Govt, du Canada, vol. A:225, and is dated by someone other than him “23.10.1838.” Other items in the series include A:228, an outline of the draft school act, dated “29.10.1838” and A:229, a cover letter to Signány’s secretary from Buller dated in his own hand “29 Oct 1838.” The cover letter suggests that if the document I transcribe was in fact written on 23 October, it reached the bishop only on 29 October. Buller wrote, “My dear Sir
Will you have the goodness to give the enclosed hasty exposé of my views as to the nature of the religious instruction to be adopted in schools to the Bishop of Quebec & request his lordships comments on them.
I hope to be able to send him other parts of my plan before long—but in the mean [time] there can surely be no objection to expressing his opinion on the points raised in the accompanying paper.
My whole plan will certainly not be in a state to offer to criticism while I am in this country & therefore if his Lordship [might decline? illegible] his opinion upon such parts as I am prepared with on the ground that he must see the whole—I am afraid I shall be deprived the advantage of having his opinion at all.
It is of great importance to me to get the papers back as early as possible so [pray?] lose no time in the matter.
It certainly does go strongly against my conscience—to give you all this trouble—but you do submit to it so cheerfully & so gracefully that I am still tempted to persist.

I remain
Yrs very sincerely
Arthur Buller”

Buller was concerned to counter claims that proposals for non-sectarian elementary instruction for Lower Canada were aimed at the religious assimilation of Catholics. While announcing his firm opposition to clerical control over schooling, he pointed to what he hoped would be a new domain of political association, one in which allegiances would be grounded neither in sectarian religion nor in an ethnic-nationalism. Common schooling was a means to "nationalization": Buller's letter is interesting on this score alone, for the question of solidarities that might cut across ethnic-linguistic lines has not disappeared from Québec politics.

Just what the substance of his new nationality would be is not specified in the letter, and Buller is silent on the matter of the language of instruction. But we can read him, in part, not only as discounting religious claims to regulate association, but also as pointing to a new space of public regulation. Religious authority would reign over morality in the schools; national officials would reign over literary instruction. We see that "nationalization" was to create "comradeship" across the lines of division in insurrectionary Lower Canada (the notion of "solidarity" was probably not yet available). We also see that a version of what Egerton Ryerson would later call our "common Christianity" was to serve as a civil religion, a non-sectarian moral infrastructure for liberal government. The draft school legislation accompanying Buller's letter made it clear that common schooling was part of a larger project for representative local democracy and rational bureaucratic state administration. The bishop was right to apprehend that Buller proposed to substitute social government for religious government in the domain of schooling.

Buller also announced the central place of the Irish common schooling model in his plans for Lower Canada. The Irish Scripture Lessons defined the contours of "our common Christianity." Mixed lay and religious boards were an Irish innovation; and there were other Irish derivatives in the draft legislation accompanying Buller's letter.

The present historiographic neglect of the Irishness of 1830s reform is striking, in my view—but then the period between the failure of the 1836 School Bill and the passage of the School Act of 1841 has yet to be studied systematically. For the few pre-reform studies, the period was a hiatus. Then and there weren't any annual reports, and instance, "the troubles" were a done deal. The passage of public schools, although he believed they had the clergy to carry the day, has yet to be remedied, despite a recent study.

One could speculate there is more to be learned of the Irish connection. Since the English acquired a new identity as "la gueule tonnante," a national event for contemporary political historians, happened in Lower Canada happened on the other side of the Atlantic, the Canadian Empire, worth a few lines in the pan. They acquired some greater impact on their own merits but because the Irish connection. Such was especially the case in England, where members of the Protestant Mission, where the Protestant Church, saw the sorting out of the Irish connection after 1836-1842, in J.-P. Beaud and J.-G. Paquin (Québec: PUQ, 2000), 278-97. A recent study of the Buller Education Commission has offered an overview of the issue.

that proposals for non-sectarian schools were aimed at the religious profession's firm opposition to clerical control, to what he hoped would be a new system of non-sectarian systematically. For the few pre-revisionist educational historians of Lower Canada, the period was a hiatus. There weren't any or many school acts, and there weren't any annual reports to read. In L.-P. Audet's account, for instance, "the troubles" were a diversion from the real business of making public schools, although he believed French-Canadians were fortunate to have had the clergy to carry the torch for better days.

Historians after 1970 produced excellent work on the period before the insurrection, and debated hotly the nature of the educational settlement of 1841. Apart from oblique mentions, however, even the systematic work of Buller's Education Commission escaped comment, and this situation has yet to be remedied, despite a recent call from J.-P. Charland for more local studies.

One could speculate there is an element of parochialism in the neglect of the Irish connection. Since the late 1960s, "les troubles de 1837-1838" have acquired a new identity as "la guerre des patriotes," an iconic and foundational event for contemporary politics in Quebec. On that reading, it is what happened in Lower Canada happened also in Lower Canada. Yet, from the other side of the Atlantic, the Canadas were a relatively minor part of the Empire, worth a few lines in the press but otherwise usually uninteresting. They acquired some greater importance in the mid-to-late 1830s, not first on their own merits but because they became implicated in English political manoeuvring. Such was especially the case with respect to the Durham Mission, where members of the Radical faction, hoping to gain power in England, saw the sorting out of Lower Canada as a demonstration project for the liberal democratic reforms they were promoting at home.


Ireland had been sorted out educationally already, or so it seemed as Protestant opposition to national education was still gathering steam. At the very moment that Radicals were dealing with Lower Canada, they were also proposing to introduce the Irish educational system as the model for national education in England itself. And Ireland and Lower Canada may have looked pretty much alike to imperially eyes: alien, largely peasant populations, speaking foreign languages, Catholic and priest-ridden, and economically backward with archaic social institutions. The priests in Ireland jumped at the chance of state-supported common schooling; Buller hoped the Canadian ones would too.

Since the “hearts and minds of the people” were a prize to be won in the political conflicts in the Lower Canada of the 1830s, we should expect that contemporaries would pay considerable attention to education. One measure of that attention is in repeated attempts to find out what was going on in common schooling and collegiate education in the countryside. By my count, there were at least six such attempts between 1835 and 1840: by the Assembly’s Permanent Committee on Education; by the Gosford Commission (at least two); by the Catholic Bishops; by Durham’s Education Commission; and by the Special Council.

Furthermore, with the exception of the bishops’ investigation, which was aimed at forestalling Durham’s Education Commission, there is an important degree of continuity in these activities, which should further discount claims that the period constituted a hiatus. The Permanent Committee proposed measures in 1835. John Neilson, on the Gosford Commission, (an Irish lord) formed by Lord Durham announced for July 1838, with Arthur Buller as its chairman, was to survey existing educational situations in the Canadian countryside and to launch an ambitious educational settlement program short and Arthur Buller—within days of writing to Charles Dewey Day, closely followed by the educational survey before the educational survey was launched.

Without more or less accurate figures, Buller’s educational project was intransigently opposed. Moderate Signay, the bishops who several decades already: Catholic board or commissioner of Catholic education was accepted. He was opposed to this position. How...
IRISH SCHOOLS FOR CANADA

mittee proposed measures in 1836 likely urged again by its former chair, John Neilson, on the Gosford Commission. The intelligence generated by Gosford (an Irish lord) formed much of what Arthur Buller knew about Lower Canadian conditions. Signay was appealing to Gosford after the latter’s departure from the country in an effort to counter Buller. Then, Buller’s Canadian version of the Irish model was modified administratively in keeping with the imperial plan to introduce representative local government into the united Canadas. Draft legislation was prepared by Christopher Dunkin, Buller’s secretary, who also wrote an influential history and analysis of Lower Canadian educational policy. The Lower Canada Special Council in 1839 adopted Buller’s recommendations to set the plan in motion. Christopher Dunkin briefed Poulett Thomson on educational matters after Thomson arrived as governor. The draft of the School Act of 1841, presented to the Canadian Assembly by former Special Councillor Charles Dewey Day, closely followed Dunkin’s draft plan.

I hope to flesh out these claims and trace out these connections in subsequent work, but three things at least seem worth stressing: the late 1830s in Lower Canadian education deserve our attention; the period was not a hiatus—rather, there were clear lines of continuity across it and a much schooling activity; and Lower Canadian educational development was tied to Irish development, but refracted through English politics.

The Education Commission

Lord Durham announced formation of a Commission on Education on 18 July 1838, with Arthur Buller as commissioner. The commission’s mandate was to survey existing educational conditions and resources in the Lower Canadian countryside and to propose needed reforms. The Commission launched an ambitious educational survey, but Durham’s resignation cut matters short and Arthur Buller left the colony in the first week of November—within days of writing to Bishop Signay. Buller wrote his report on education while in England, but the Irish model had been selected well before the educational survey began.

Without more or less active support from the Catholic hierarchy, Buller’s educational project was in difficulty. Msgr Lartigue of Montreal was intransigently opposed, and Buller pinned his hopes on the more moderate Signay. The bishops claimed a position the hierarchy had adopted for several decades already: no system of funded schools in which a Catholic board or commission did not have directing powers over the education of Catholics was acceptable. Buller in turn was equally intransigently opposed to this position. How this antagonism worked itself out in the educational settlement of 1841 is here beyond the scope of my interest.
THE LETTER: BULLER TO SIGNAY, CIRCA 23–29 OCTOBER 1838

Sir, as your position in the religious world renders your opinion peculiarly valuable in that department of my Enquiries, which relates to the Religious instruction of youth, I am induced to lay before you my own views on that point and to beg the favor of your comments upon them.

I think you will agree with me that, in a country, where the distinctions of religion and race prevail to the extent that they do in Lower Canada, it is highly important that every one of its institutions should be framed with a view of uniting and nationalizing its entire population.

We know from extensive experience that the unity of nations is seriously disturbed, frequently altogether destroyed, by these distinctions, and that the surest, indeed the only way of making them harmless is to bring all classes together as much and as often as possible, and most studiously so, in their youth, when friendships are more easily formed and a mutual confidence begotten, which even the after life can with difficulty destroy.

The Children that are brought up together in the same schools and play together and are punished together become friends.

Those that are brought up at separate schools in the same neighbourhood, who are told that the reason of this separation is that the children of the rival school are heretics or belong to another nation; who have no common hopes and fears, none of those kindly associations, so easily born out of the familiarities of comradeship and so faithfully retained throughout the vicissitudes of life; such children when afterward they are brought into contact find the seeds of enmity are already sown between them; in a word the first and most decisive step towards the great end of nationalization is already taken, when the Inhabitants of a country mix freely in common schools.

But this is not achieved without some difficulty. Immediately the questions arise "Is any religious instructions to be given at these schools?, and if so, of what nature? Is it to be so limited, as to be repugnant to none? or, is it to be exclusively such as to suit the majority? ["""] No doubt, much of the difficulty would be got over by a declaration that it was not the object of these schools to teach religion; that the proper teachers of that most important of all departments were the ministers of religion themselves, and that the master should neither superintend any religious exercise, nor make use of any religious book. I am aware that one of the earliest consequences of such a declaration would be, that the cry of atheism and infidelity would be raised against the whole system and would be echoed by all parties with whom it might be unpopular on other
Revue d'histoire de l'éducation

CIRCA 23–29 OCTOBER 1838

World renders your opinion peculiarly
Enquiries, which relates to the Religious
lay before you my own views on that
comments upon them.
lar in a country, where the distinctions
sent that they do in Lower Canada, it
its entire population.
re that the unity of nations is
ter destroyed, by these distinctions,
way of making them harmless is to
and as often as possible, and most
friends are more easily formed and
in the after life can with difficulty
p together in the same schools and play
er become friends.
parate schools in the same neighbour-
this separation is that the children of
ong to another nation; who have no
those kindly associations, so easily born
ip and so faithfully retained throughout
hen afterward they are brought into
ready sown between them; in a word
ards the great end of nationalization is
s of a country mix freely in common
some difficulty. Immediately the
structions to be given at these schools?,
limited, as to be repugnant to none?
nt the majority?['"] No doubt, much
er by a declaration that it was not the
igion; that the proper teachers of that
were the ministers of religion them-
ther superintend any religious
ious book. I am aware that one of the
claration would be, that the cry of
against the whole system and would
it might be unpopular on other

Grounds; and this cry unreasonable and malicious as it would be, might still make its impression and crest [sic] such additional enemies to the system, as might altogether defeat its operation.

Nevertheless, if any other means of religious instruction were generally available, I should be strongly tempted to try the experiment. But such is not the case. It is rare that the minority in the rural districts of this province are provided with their ministers, and therefore, unless the[y] receive religious instruction from the Schoolmaster, they receive none at all; and the Majority again are too numerous and too much dispersed to obtain much benefit from the good of theirs. To desert the minority so circum-

anced and leave them the alternative of either going without religious instructions altogether or taking what they can get at the hands of Professors of other creeds, would be impolitic, unjust and unchristian. And it

would be little better than a mockery to tell the majority, that the[y] must

look for theirs from a minister, who is out of reach of most of them, and too occupied to give the requisite attention to any.

Having now declared my opinion of the importance of bringing children of opposite religions together in the same schools and the

necessity of providing therein some sort of religious instruction for them, I proceed to discuss the nature of that instruction—whether it shall be such

as the majority in each locality pleases, or whether it shall only embrace

those points which Christians of all denominations are agreed about.

The former of these plans would no doubt best satisfy the local

majority; but its effect on the minority would be not only to deprive them

of religious instruction. The fear of interference on the part of the master

or indeed the disinclination to let their children be present when hostile

opinions were being inculcated would be so great that many parents would

refuse altogether to countenance such schools and thus the poor children

of the minority would frequently get no education at all.

I now come to this consideration whether there is not some point and

that sufficiently far on the road to which all denominations might peaceably

tavel together—the Historical parts of the Old Testaments—the Psalms

—the Gospels and various passages throughout the sacred volume instilling

the principles of Christian morality are acceptable alike to Catholics and

Protestants. Such parts are eminently adapted for children. The doctrinal

parts, which one religion would not trust another to interpret, are

eminently unadapted for them.

Therefore, it is precisely those parts of the Scriptures, concerning which,
in every way, all religions agree, that are best suited for the Instruction of

children.
Is there any difficulty in collating these parts? Or are they insufficient for the object in view? Because if not, the object is gained. The experiment has already been tried in Ireland. The very same religious difficulties, which we have to contend with here, were contended with there and the volumes of the Bible extracts which I herewith send you and which have been found sufficient to overcome those difficulties in that country, might I am persuaded, be introduced with equal success in Lower Canada.

In these volumes not a passage is to be found repugnant to the faith of any Christian. They embrace no controversial points, and the questions for explanation which the[y] naturally suggest, and to which the master is limited, are most judiciously arranged at the end of each chapter. If some parts of the Bible are more important than others you will find them in these extracts. In short, all that is therein should be read, marked and digested before a child travels beyond.

Having been personally engaged in similar Enquiries in England and having taken the opinion of many well informed men here and there upon the point I am persuaded that there is a great deal more of the Scriptures in these volumes than is ever read by children at English Elementary schools and that the selection is better, (made as it has been under the superintendence of able and enlightened men) than can be expected from the discretion of the ordinary run of village schoolmasters.

Under any system that has been or ever will be, the bible has been and will be, in point of fact, read in extracts. The only difference is that in some, the extracts have been carefully made and separately bound together, and in others made at random, and read out of a book which contained a great deal else, which was not read.

Do [not] for a moment suppose that I think there is a word in the Bible which is not of the highest significance. The Child who has read and perfectly understood the extracts should, no doubt, travel beyond, but that will rarely, if ever happen at Elementary Schools, and his duties elsewhere are not the subject of enquiry at the present moment.

By these arrangements provision is made for religious instruction up to a certain point in which all can participate. However I see no difficulty in affording the different denominations the opportunity of still further and more exclusive religious instruction, which they may enjoy without offending, or interfering with each other.

The Book of Extracts I propose to be the only religious book used in schoolhours, unless catholics and protestants shall be ready to agree upon others of a similarly universal character. Out of schoolhours that is to say, either the first thing in the morning or the last thing in the evening, any minister or any body authorised in that behalf by the minister and by the parents of the Children shall be anything else that may be deemed necessary.

If confidence to such an Extract master, be can give them this Extract, the time which is occupied, and the minority will understand the subject, and the majority will continue to have precisely the religious instruction which they are enjoying, with this exception that they will not as heretofore permit the clergy to have the complete book of Extracts.

I cannot anticipate any difficulty from this arrangement. In Catholic schools, and of the books to be used in them, I cannot see how such a book of Extracts is objectionable. If it be preferred, let another be chosen from the same source.

There is nothing in this religious instruction of the children which the Clergy however see, on the contrary, conforms to their direction. The Catholic church more particularly and of the books to be used in which I have in view, the clergy...
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If confidence to such an Extract can be placed by the majority in the
master, he can give them this Extra religious Instruction at either of those
times, and the minority will understand that they are not to come away that
is over, or to go away before it begins.
Again, the time which is not fixed upon for this purpose by the
majority, may be devoted to the extra religious instruction of the minority,
if they can find any one to give it.
By this arrangement the majority lose nothing, and the minority are
guaranteed something that they would not otherwise get. Every child
will have the means of religious instruction to a certain extract, and of a
sound and unimpeachable character, and the children of the majority will
continue to have precisely the same opportunity of receiving any further
religious instruction which they have hitherto been in the habit of
enjoying, with this exception that it must be given either late or early in the
day and not as heretofore perhaps in the middle of schoolhours.
I cannot anticipate any difficulty on the score of time. I presume that
the extra religious instruction, insisted upon, would rarely be more than the
Catechism, and that one hour, twice a week, would be found sufficient for
that purpose. If certain daily prayers should be considered indispensable, a
quarter of an hour every morning or evening, or both might without
difficulty be borrowed from, or added to, the usual schoolhours.
Indeed there seems something so equitable, so reasonable, so utterly
inoffensive, and at the same time so very practicable in this arrangement
that I fearlessly claim the assent and co-operation of every religious man
and every friend of Education.
I cannot see how such assent can be refused, unless something in the
book of Extracts is objected to. If it is, let it be pointed out and expurged,
or if it be preferred, let another selection be made on the same principles
and from the same source.
There is nothing in this system, as is apprehended, which takes, the
religious instruction of the commune out of the hands of the Clergy. It is,
on the contrary, conforms it to them. The Religion which it teaches in
schools is such as they cannot fail to approve, and all beyond is left entirely
to their direction.
The Clergy however seem scarcely content with this power. The
Catholic church more particularly, lays claim to the selection of the master,
and of the books to be used in schools. Under the scheme of management
which I have in view, the clergyman of every denomination in every school
district, would be an ex officio Examiner (among others) of the qualification of the person nominated by the Trustees to be master.

The Certificate of his literary attainments would be obtained elsewhere; but if any minister of religion or any of the other examiners objected to his moral habits, the charge would be brought before a superior officer of Education and if substantiated, the nomination would be disallowed.

It should be borne in mind that it is proposed to give the greatest publicity to all this description of proceeding which circumstance coupled with the general activity of the superintendence will be a pretty good assurance against improper appointments. With respect of the selection of the books to be used, such as relate to religion, I have already said with the exception of the book of Extracts are left entirely to the clergy——

With respect to such as have no reference to religion, I see no foundation for their claim. If however it should be felt that sectarian insinuations had crept into any schoolbook, or that it had an immoral tendency, upon the representation of a clerical board (composed equally of catholics and protestants which I propose to hold a place in my system) these evils would be pointed out, and why not immediately remedied? There are other persons besides the clergy who would wish to discard immoral books, and the very life of my system is its diminution of sectarianism and its security against its inroads.

I am strongly disinclined to leave the sole management of education to the clergy. Not only would it open the door (which I am so anxious to close) to sectarian jealousies and sectarian injustice, but it appears to me that an institution in which the whole nation is so seriously interested should be guarded by all the precautions which the national resources can afford. That a national system of education should be directed by national officers specifically appointed for that purpose and directly responsible to the nation to an extent to which it should be inconvenient, indeed impossible, to subject the church.

You will do me a great favor by taking the earliest opportunity of giving me your opinion on these points.

I have the honor to be

Your mos hum. servant

Arthur Buller


Few topics cause more discord than the pedagogy of the classical bel canto. Almost all singers of note, professional and amateur, were trained in the schools of bel canto. Today, bel canto has become more popular than ever and is being increasingly exposed to the public as a subject of scholarly interest. There is a need for a comprehensive and clear guide to the rich history of bel canto. James Stark has addressed this need in his recent book.

Bel canto is the term used to describe the style of singing in the 17th and 18th centuries. It is characterized by a clear and expressive style of singing, with an emphasis on the beauty of the voice. The term bel canto was coined in the 19th century to describe this style of singing, which is now considered to be the predecessor of modern singing techniques.

Stark's book is a comprehensive guide to the history of bel canto, covering the period from the 16th century to the present day. He provides a detailed analysis of the different schools of bel canto, including the Italian, French, and German schools. Stark also discusses the role of the castrato in the development of bel canto, and the influence of modern scientific research on the study of bel canto.

The book is organized into eleven chapters, each devoted to a specific aspect of bel canto. Chapter One covers the origins of bel canto, while Chapter Eleven examines the influence of bel canto on modern singing. Stark's book is an important contribution to the study of bel canto, and is highly recommended for anyone interested in the history of singing.